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The table below provides a summary of the membership data used in this valuation compared to the prior valuation.

| Number as of | $12 / 31 / 2017$ | $12 / 31 / 2016$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members | 562 | 560 |
| Terminated members and survivors of <br> deceased members entitled to benefits <br> but not yet receiving benefits | 44 | 42 |
| Retired members and survivors of <br> deceased members currently receiving <br> benefits | $\underline{682}$ | 1,288 |

The number of active members has increased by $0.4 \%$ from the previous valuation date. An increase in active members results in more benefits accruing but also more contributions supporting the system. The number of retired members and survivors of deceased members currently receiving benefits increased by $4.3 \%$ from the previous valuation date. The increase in retiree population is consistent with expectations.

A detailed summary of the membership data used in this valuation is provided in Section 3 and Appendix $B$.
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The table below provides details of the Market Value of Assets for the current and prior year's valuations.

| Asset Data as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of Year Market Value of Assets | \$ | 538,766,550 | \$ | 520,979,678 |
| Contributions |  | 28,011,274 |  | 27,123,101 |
| Benefit Payments |  | $(42,645,427)$ |  | $(41,293,727)$ |
| Investment Income |  | 71,550,605 |  | 31,957,498 |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) |  | 56,916,452 |  | 17,786,872 |
| End of Year Value of Assets | \$ | 595,683,002 | \$ | 538,766,550 |
| Estimated Net Investment Return |  | 13.46\% |  | 6.22\% |

CJRS assets are held in trust and are invested for the exclusive benefit of plan members. Incoming contributions cover roughly half of the outgoing benefit payments and administrative expenses. Over the long term, benefit payments and administrative expenses not covered by contributions are expected to be covered with investment income, illustrating the benefits of following actuarial prefunding since inception.

A detailed summary of the market value of assets is provided in Section 4.
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The table below provides a reconciliation of the prior year's unfunded actuarial accrued liability to the current year's unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

| (in millions) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) as of 12/31/2016 | $\$$ |
| Increase due to Transition to New Actuary | 77.7 |
| Normal Cost and Administrative Expense during 2017 | 1.1 |
| Reduction due to Actual Contributions during 2017 | 15.8 |
| Interest on UAAL, Normal Cost, and Contributions | $(28.0)$ |
| Asset (Gain) / Loss | 5.8 |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (Gain) / Loss | 3.5 |
| Impact of Assumption Changes | 6.1 |
| Impact of Legislative Changes |  |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) as of 12/31/2017 | $\$$ |

During 2017, there was a transition from the prior actuary to CMC, resulting in valuation programing, modifications and differences in methodologies, such as payroll increase timing, that increased the UAAL by $\$ 1.1$ million. In addition, during 2017, the UAAL increased faster than expected primarily due to assumption changes. The change in assumption reflects the change in interest rate from $7.20 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ and increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL), or pension debt, by $\$ 12.7$ million. The loss recognized in the actuarial value of assets during the year increased the UAAL by $\$ 3.5$ million. Additionally, changes in plan provisions increased the UAAL by $\$ 0.4$ million.

A detailed summary of the net actuarial gain or loss is provided in Section 5.
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The table below provides a reconciliation of the actuarially determined employer contribution.

Fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 Preliminary ADEC
(based on December 31, 2016 valuation)
32.35\%

Impact of Legislative Changes
Fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 ADEC for Reconciliation
32.35\%

Change Due to Transition to New Actuary
(0.06\%)

Change due to Anticpated Reduction in UAAL
Change Due to Demographic (Gain)/Loss
Change Due to Investment (Gain)/Loss
(0.56\%)

Change Due to Contributions Less (Greater) than ADEC
Impact of Assumption Changes
Impact of Direct-Rate Smoothing
Fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 Preliminary ADEC
(based on December 31, 2017 valuation) 33.60\%

The change in rate due to investment loss is based on the actuarial value of assets returns, which was less than the 7.20\% assumed return. The impact of the asset and demographic losses was an increase of $1.63 \%$ of payroll. The impact of the assumption change, the reduction from 7.20\% assumed return to 7.00\% totaled 2.92\%. This will be phased in over the next three years, being fully reflected for the June 30, 2022 results.

A detailed summary of the actuarially determined employer contribution rates is provided in Section 6.

## Valuation Results Employer Contributions and Benefit Enhancements



Inputs
Membership Data Asset Data
Benefit Provisions
Assumptions
Funding Methodology $\downarrow$
Results

Projections

The table below provides a history of the actuarially determined employer contribution and the corresponding appropriated rate.

| Valuation Date | Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Normal Rate* | Accrued <br> Liability Rate | Change due <br> to | Total ADEC <br> Legislation** | Appropriated <br> Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2017$ | $6 / 30 / 2020$ | $17.28 \%$ | $16.32 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2016$ | $6 / 30 / 2019$ | $15.83 \%$ | $16.52 \%$ | $1.51 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2015$ | $6 / 30 / 2018$ | $15.95 \%$ | $14.28 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $31.05 \%$ | $31.05 \%$ |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2014$ | $6 / 30 / 2017$ | $17.95 \%$ | $7.14 \%$ | $4.37 \%$ | $29.46 \%$ | $29.46 \%$ |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2013$ | $6 / 30 / 2016$ | $17.97 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $26.37 \%$ | $27.21 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Includes Death Benefit rate
** For fiscal year ending 6/30/2017, the change due to legislation for the contribution includes a $3.44 \%$ increase in the ADEC due to the experience study and a $0.93 \%$ increase in the ADEC due to the onetime pension supplement to be paid on or before October 31, 2016. The fiscal year ending 6/30/2019 amount includes $0.60 \%$ for the one-time cost-of-living supplement to be paid in October 2018 and an additional $0.91 \%$ based on the appropriated contribution rate of $33.86 \%$ which was higher than the $32.35 \%$ preliminary ADEC calculated in the December 31, 2016 valuation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019.

The appropriated rate for fiscal year ending 2019 is $32.35 \%$ of payroll. The preliminary ADEC for fiscal year ending 2020 is $35.55 \%$ of payroll.

The increase in UAAL for a $1 \%$ COLA is $\$ 4,662,000$. The increase in ADEC for a $1 \%$ COLA is $0.79 \%$ of payroll.

A detailed summary of the actuarially determined employer contribution rates is provided in Section 6.

## Key Takeaways

> Key results of the December 31, 2017 valuation were:

- Market value returns of $13.46 \%$ compared to $7.20 \%$ assumed
- Recent legislation signed into law since the prior valuation:
- One-time cost-of-living supplement equal to $1 \%$ of annual benefit payments for retired members and survivors of deceased members payable in October 2018
- Change in discount rate from $7.20 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ as of December 31, 2017, with direct-rate smoothing of the change in the employer contributions rate over a three-year period


## Key Takeaways (continued)

> When compared to the December 31, 2016 valuation, the above resulted in:

- Lower funded ratio (86.1\% in the December 31, 2017 valuation compared to $87.9 \%$ in the December 31, 2016 valuation)
- Lower actuarially determined employer contribution rate (33.60\% for fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 compared to the appropriated contribution rate of $33.86 \%$ which was higher than the $32.35 \%$ preliminary ADEC calculated in the valuation for fiscal year ending June 30, 2019)
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Members of the Board:

We submit herewith our report on the annual valuation of the Consolidated Judicial Retirement System of North Carolina (referred to as "CJRS" or the "Judicial Plan") prepared as of December 31, 2017. The report has been prepared in accordance with North Carolina General Statute 13550 through 135-75. Information contained in our report for plan years prior to December 31, 2017 is based upon valuations performed by the prior actuary.

The primary purpose of the valuation report is to determine the required member and employer contribution rates, to describe the current financial condition of CJRS, and to analyze changes in such condition. In addition, the report provides information that the Office of the State Controller (OSC) requires for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and it summarizes census data. Use of this report for any other purposes or by anyone other than OSC and its auditors, or North Carolina Retirement System Division and Department of State Treasurer staff may not be appropriate and may result in mistaken conclusions because of failure to understand applicable assumptions, methods, or inapplicability of the report for that purpose. The attached pages should not be provided without a copy of this cover letter. Because of the risk of misinterpretation of actuarial results, you should ask Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting (CMC) to review any statement you wish to make on the results contained in this report. CMC will not accept any liability for any such statement made without prior review.

The valuation is based upon membership data and financial information as furnished by the Retirement Systems Division and the Financial Operations Division and as summarized in this report. Although reviewed for reasonableness and consistency with the prior valuation, these elements have not been audited by CMC and we cannot certify as to the accuracy and completeness of the data supplied. Sometimes assumptions are made by CMC to interpret membership data that is imperfect. The valuation is also based on benefit and contribution provisions as presented in this report. If you have reason to believe that the plan provisions are incorrectly described, that important plan provisions relevant to this valuation are not described, or that conditions have changed since the calculations were made, you should contact the authors of this actuarial report prior to relying on this information.

The valuation is further based on the actuarial valuation assumptions, approved by the Board of Trustees, as presented in this report. We believe that these assumptions are appropriate and reasonable and also comply with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 67. We prepared this valuation in accordance with the requirements of this standard and in accordance with all applicable ASOPs.

The assumptions used for the December 31, 2017 actuarial valuation are based on the experience study prepared as of December 31, 2014 and adopted by the Board of Trustees on January 21, 2016, as further updated to use a discount rate of $7.00 \%$ in conjunction with direct-rate smoothing of the employer contribution rate, as adopted by the Board of Trustees on April 26, 2018. The economic assumptions with respect to investment yield, salary increase and inflation have been based upon a review of the existing portfolio structure as well as recent and anticipated experience.

Where presented, references to "funded ratio" and "unfunded accrued liability" typically are measured on an actuarial value of assets basis. It should be noted that the same measurements using market value of assets would result in different funded ratios and unfunded accrued liabilities. Moreover, the funded ratio presented is appropriate for evaluating the need and level of future contributions but makes no assessment regarding the funded status of the plan if the plan were to settle (i.e. purchase annuities) for a portion or all of its liabilities. In various places in the report the results also show funded ratios and unfunded liabilities based upon varying sets of assumptions as well as market values of assets as that is required for certain disclosure information required per accounting rules or statutes. Where this has been done it has been clearly indicated.

Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as the following: fund experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Such changes in law may include additional costs resulting from future legislated benefit improvements or cost-of-living pension increases or supplements, which are not anticipated in the actuarial valuation. Because of limited scope, CMC performed no analysis of the potential range of such future differences, except for some limited analysis in financial projections or required disclosure information.

We meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained in this report. This report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice, and we are available to answer questions about it.

Respectfully submitted,


Larry Langer, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary


Jonathan T. Craven, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA Consulting Actuary
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## Executive Summary

## Overview

The North Carolina Retirement Systems Division (RSD) was established in 1941 to provide retirement benefits for public servants in the State of North Carolina. Today, under the management of the Department of State Treasurer, RSD administers seven public pension plans (defined benefit plans), three supplemental retirement plans (voluntary defined contributions plans), a health trust fund, a disability income plan, death benefit funds and a number of other benefit programs. As of December 31, 2017, the RSD defined benefit plans cover over one million current and prior public servants of the state of North Carolina. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, RSD paid over $\$ 6.0$ billion in pensions to more than 300,000 retirees. And as of June 30, 2018, RSD's defined benefit plan assets were valued at over $\$ 98$ billion.

Under the supplemental retirement plans, the amount of contributions in any given year is defined by law. The amount of benefits derived is dependent on the investment returns the individual achieves. Conversely, under the pension plans, the amount of the benefit paid to a member upon retirement, termination, death or disability is defined by law. The amount of contributions needed to fund these benefits cannot be known with certainty. In North Carolina, like other states, these contributions are paid during a public servant's career so that upon retirement, termination, death, or disability, there are funds available to pay these benefits. These amounts are determined through an actuarial valuation. Actuarial valuations are performed for each of the pension plans administered by RSD and the results are contained in actuarial valuation reports like this.

In 1985, the Consolidated Judicial Retirement System (referred to as "CJRS" or the "Judicial Plan") was established. CJRS provides benefits to the elected judges and justices, district attorneys, clerks of superior court of the general court of justice and public defenders. CJRS has approximately $\$ 596$ million in assets and over 1,200 members. This actuarial valuation report is our annual analysis of the financial health of CJRS. This report, prepared as of December 31, 2017, presents the results of the actuarial valuation of CJRS.

## Purpose

An actuarial valuation is performed on CJRS annually as of the end of the calendar year. The actuary determines the amount of contributions to be made to CJRS during each member's career that, when combined with investment return, will be sufficient to pay for retirement benefits.
In addition, the annual actuarial valuation is performed to:

- Determine the progress on funding CJRS,
- Explore why the results of the current valuation differ from the results of the valuation of the previous year, and
- Satisfy regulatory and accounting requirements.

A detailed summary of the valuation process and a glossary of actuarial terms are provided in Appendix A.

## Executive Summary

## Key Takeaways

The actuarial valuation is performed each year to replace the estimates the actuary assumed for the prior valuation with the actual events that happened. This past year, as expected, some of the assumptions used in the prior valuation were not realized. Key results of the December 31, 2017 valuation were:

- Market value returns of $13.46 \%$ during calendar year 2017 compared to $7.20 \%$ assumed at the beginning of the year
- Recent legislation signed into law since the prior valuation:
- One-time cost-of-living supplement equal to $1 \%$ of annual benefit payments for retired members and survivors of deceased members payable in October 2018
- Change in discount rate from $7.20 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ as of December 31, 2017, with direct-rate smoothing of the change in the employer contributions rate over a three-year period.

When compared to the December 31, 2017 valuation, the above resulted in:

- Lower funded ratio ( $86.1 \%$ in the December 31, 2017 valuation compared to $87.9 \%$ in the December 31, 2016 valuation)
- Lower actuarially determined employer contribution rate ( $33.60 \%$ for fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 compared to the appropriated contribution rate of $33.86 \%$ which was higher than the $32.35 \%$ preliminary ADEC calculated in the valuation for fiscal year ending June 30, 2019)

CJRS is well funded compared to its peers. This is due to:

- Stakeholders working together to keep CJRS well-funded since inception
- A history of appropriating and contributing the recommended contribution requirements
- Assumptions that in aggregate are more conservative than peers
- A funding policy that aggressively pays down unfunded liability
- An ad hoc cost-of-living adjustment that supports the health of the system
- Modest changes in benefits when compared to peers

Continued focus on these measures will be needed to maintain the solid status of CJRS well into the future.

More details can be found later in this report. We encourage readers to start with Sections 1 and 2 and refer to other sections for additional details as needed.

## Section 1: Principal Results

This report, prepared as of December 31, 2017, presents the results of the actuarial valuation of the system. The principal results of the valuation and a comparison with the preceding year's results are summarized below.

Table 1: Summary of Principal Results

| Valuation Results as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 562 |  | 560 |
| Reported Compensation | \$ | 71,726,921 | \$ | 70,112,652 |
| Valuation Compensation* | \$ | 75,728,052 | \$ | 72,276,199 |
| Retired Members and Survivors of Deceased |  |  |  |  |
| Members Currently Receiving Benefits Number |  | 682 |  | 654 |
| Annual Allowances | \$ | 42,920,238 | \$ | 40,501,250 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial Value (AVA) | \$ | 586,776,499 | \$ | 564,809,316 |
| Market Value (MVA) | \$ | 595,683,002 | \$ | 538,766,550 |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | \$ | 681,895,087 | \$ | 642,527,945 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability (AAL - AVA) | \$ | 95,118,588 | \$ | 77,718,629 |
| Funded Ratio (AVA / AAL)** |  | 86.1\% |  | 87.9\% |
| Results for Fiscal Year Ending |  | 6/30/2020 |  | 6/30/2019 |
| Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution (ADEC), as a percentage of payroll |  |  |  |  |
| Normal Cost |  | 17.28\% |  | 15.46\% |
| Death Benefit |  | N/A |  | 0.37\% |
| Accrued Liability |  | 18.27\% |  | 16.52\% |
| Total Preliminary ADEC |  | 35.55\% |  | 32.35\% |
| Total ADEC Based on Direct-Rate Smoothing |  | 33.60\% |  | N/A |
| Impact of Legislative Changes*** |  | N/A |  | 1.51\% |
| Final ADEC |  | N/A |  | 33.86\% |
| Appropriation Act for Fiscal Year Ending |  | 6/30/2019 |  | 6/30/2018 |
| Employer Contribution Rate as a percentage of payroll |  |  |  |  |
| Normal Cost |  | 17.28\% |  | 15.46\% |
| Death Benefit |  | N/A |  | 0.37\% |
| Accrued Liability |  | 16.58\% |  | 15.22\% |
| Total |  | 33.86\% |  | 31.05\% |
| Preliminary Reserve for |  |  |  |  |
| Undistributed Gains/(Losses) |  | (1.69)\% |  | (1.30)\% |

[^0]
## Section 2: The Valuation Process

The following diagram summarizes the inputs and results of the actuarial valuation process.


A more detailed description of the valuation process is provided in Appendix A.

## Valuation Input: Membership Data

As with any estimate, the actuary collects information that we know now. Under the actuarial valuation process, current information about CJRS members is collected annually by the Retirement Systems Division staff at the direction of the actuary. Membership data will assist the actuary in estimating benefits that could be paid in the future. Information about benefit provisions and assets held in the trust as of the valuation date is also collected.

The member information the actuary collects includes data elements such as current service, salary and benefit group identifier for members that have not separated service, and actual benefit amounts and form of payment for members that have separated service. Data elements such as gender and date of birth are used to determine when a benefit might be paid and for how long.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Input: Membership Data (continued)

The table below provides a summary of the membership data used in this valuation compared to the prior valuation.

| Number as of | $12 / 31 / 2017$ | $12 / 31 / 2016$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Active Members | 562 | 560 |
| Terminated members and survivors of <br> deceased members entitled to <br> benefits but not yet receiving benefits | 44 | 42 |
| Retired members and survivors of <br> deceased members currently <br> receiving benefits | $\underline{682}$ | $\underline{654}$ |
| Total | 1,288 | 1,256 |

Commentary: The number of active members has increased by $0.4 \%$ from the previous valuation date. An increase in active members results in more benefits accruing but also more contributions supporting the system. The number of retired members and survivors of deceased members currently receiving benefits increased by $4.3 \%$ from the previous valuation date. The increase in retiree population is consistent with expectations.

## Graph 1: Active Members

The graph below provides a history of the number of active members and reported compensation over the past five years.


Commentary: Reported compensation has increased by $2.3 \%$ and has remained relatively stable over the past five years. Covered payroll is expected to increase by approximately $3.5 \%$ annually in the future. Payroll that is not increasing as fast as assumed results in less benefits accruing than we anticipate, but also fewer contributions supporting the system.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Input: Membership Data (continued)

## Graph 2: Retired Members and Survivors of Deceased Members

The graph below provides a history of the number of retired members and survivors of deceased members and benefit amounts payable over the past five years.


Commentary: The number of retired members and survivors of deceased members and the benefits paid to these members has been increasing steadily, as expected based on plan assumptions.

A detailed summary of the membership data used in this valuation is provided in Section 3 and Appendix B of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Input: Asset Data

CJRS assets are held in trust and are invested for the exclusive benefit of plan members. The Market Value of Assets is $\$ 596$ million as of December 31, 2017 and $\$ 539$ million as of December 31, 2016. The investment return for the market value of assets for calendar year 2017 was $13.46 \%$.

## Graph 3: Market Value of Assets and Asset Returns

The graph below provides a history of the market value of assets and asset returns over the past five years.


Commentary: Market value returns exceeded the assumed rate of return for the first time since 2013. However, the return on the actuarial value of assets which is used to determine the contribution rates did not exceed the $7.20 \%$ assumed rate of return in 2017, because of delayed recognition of the less than expected returns that occurred in 2015 and 2016.

## Graph 4: Allocation of Investments by Category

The graph below provides the breakdown of the market value of assets at December 31, 2017 by asset category.


* Real Estate, Alternatives, Inflation and Credit

Commentary: Based on historical market returns, the current asset allocation, the current investment policy, and the expectation of future asset returns, as reviewed in the last experience study, the $7.00 \%$ discount rate used in this valuation is reasonable and appropriate.

A detailed summary of the market value of assets is provided in Section 4 of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Input: Benefit Provisions

## Benefit provisions are described in North Carolina General Statues, Chapter 135.

This valuation reflects the following change in benefit provisions from the prior year's valuation:

- One-time pension supplement of $1 \%$ of the annualized benefit in effect on September 1, 2018, to be paid in October 2018.

Highlights of the benefit provisions are described below.

- An unreduced retirement allowance is payable to members who retire from service:
- after attaining age 65 and five years of creditable service; or
- after attaining age 50 and 24 years of creditable service
- The unreduced retirement allowance is equal to:
- $4.02 \%$ of a member's final average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a Justice of the Supreme Court or Judge of the Court of Appeals, plus
- $3.52 \%$ of a member's final average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a Judge of the Superior Court or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, plus
- $3.02 \%$ of a member's final average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a Judge of the District Court, District Attorney, Public Defender, or Clerk of the Superior Court
- A reduced retirement allowance is payable to members who retire from service after attaining age 50 and five years of creditable service
- Ancillary benefits are also payable upon the death or disability of a member.
- CJRS does not provide for explicit cost of living increases as part of the benefit package. Instead, increases may be provided if certain financial conditions are met and/or the legislature passes a budget that provides for a cost-of-living adjustment.

Commentary: Many Public Sector Retirement Systems in the United States have undergone pension reform where the benefits of members (active or future members) have been reduced. Because of the well-funded status of CJRS due to the legislature contributing the actuarially determined employer contribution, benefit cuts have not been made in North Carolina as they have been in most other states. Instead, we have seen a modest expansion of benefits in recent years based on sound plan design. However, if North Carolina's investment policy shifts substantively, or incurs other unfavorable investment, economic, or demographic experience, the system should review likely impacts of the shift and consider corresponding changes to actuarial assumptions, funding policy and/or benefit levels.

A detailed summary of the benefit provisions is provided in Appendix C of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Input: Actuarial Assumptions

Actuarial assumptions bridge the gap between the information that we know with certainty as of the valuation date (age, gender, service, pay, and benefits of the members) and what may happen in the future. The actuarial assumptions of CJRS are reviewed at least every five years. Based on this review, the actuary will make recommendations on the demographic and economic assumptions.

Demographic assumptions describe future events that relate to people such as retirement rates, termination rates, disability rates, and mortality rates. Economic assumptions describe future events that relate to the assets of CJRS such as the interest rate, salary increases, the real return, and payroll growth.

With the exception of the discount rate, the assumptions used for the December 31, 2017 actuarial valuation are based on the experience study prepared as of December 31, 2014 and adopted by the Board of Trustees on January 21, 2016. The discount rate was updated to be $7.00 \%$ as adopted by the Board of Trustees on April 26, 2018.

## Valuation Input: Funding Methodology

The Funding Methodology is the payment plan for CJRS and is composed of the following three components:

- Actuarial Cost Methods allocate costs to the actuarial accrued liability (i.e. the amount of money that should be in the fund) for past service and normal cost (i.e. the cost of benefits accruing during the year) for current service.
- The Board of Trustees has adopted Entry Age Normal as its actuarial cost method
- Develops normal costs that stay level as a percent of payroll
- Asset Valuation Methods smooth or average the market value returns over time to alleviate contribution volatility that results from market returns.
- Asset returns in excess of or less than the expected return on market value of assets reflected over a five-year period
- Assets corridor: not greater than $120 \%$ of market value and not less than $80 \%$ of market value
- Amortization Methods determine the payment schedule for unfunded actuarial accrued liability (i.e. the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and actuarial value of assets)
- Payment level: the payment is determined as a level dollar amount, similar to a mortgage payment
- Payment period: a 12-year closed amortization period was adopted for fiscal year ending 2012. A new amortization base is created each year based on the prior years' experience.

Commentary: When compared to other Public Sector Retirement Systems in the United States, the funding policy for CJRS is quite aggressive in that the policy pays down the pension debt over a much shorter period of time (12 years) compared to most other Public Sector Retirement Systems. As such it is a best practice in the industry.

A detailed summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods is provided in Appendix D of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Actuarial Value of Assets

In order to reduce the volatility that investment gains and losses can have on required contributions and funded status of CJRS, the Board adopted an asset valuation method to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets used for funding purposes. The Actuarial Value of Assets is $\$ 586.8$ million as of December 31, 2017 and $\$ 564.8$ million as of December 31, 2016.

## Graph 5: Actuarial Value and Market Value of Assets

The graph below provides a history of the market value and actuarial value of assets over the past five years.


Commentary: The market value of assets is higher than the actuarial value of assets, which is used to determine employer contributions. This indicates that overall there are unrecognized asset gains to be recognized in future valuations. However, if the investments earn the expected $7.00 \%$ over the next four years, a loss will be recognized in both the December 31, 2018 and the December 31, 2019 valuations, and a gain will be recognized in both the December 31, 2020 and the December 31, 2021 valuations.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Actuarial Value of Assets (continued)

## Graph 6: Asset Returns

The graph below provides a history of the market value and actuarial value of asset returns over the past five years.


Commentary: The investment return for the market value of assets for calendar year 2017 was $13.46 \%$. The actuarial value of assets smooths investment gains and losses. Lower than expected market returns in 2015 and 2016, which were partially offset by greater than expected market returns for 2017, resulted in an actuarial value of asset return for calendar year 2017 of 6.57\% and an asset loss of $\$ 3.5$ million during 2017.

A detailed summary of the Actuarial Value of Assets is provided in Section 4 of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Actuarial Accrued Liability

Using the provided membership data, benefit provisions, and actuarial assumptions, future benefit payments of CJRS are estimated. These projected future benefit payments are discounted into today's dollars using the assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of CJRS. The PVFB is an estimate of the current value of the benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date.

Once the PVFB is developed, an actuarial cost method is used to allocate the PVFB. Under the actuarial cost method, the PVFB is allocated to past, current and future service, respectively known as the actuarial accrued liability (AAL), normal cost (NC) and present value of future normal costs (PVFNC). The AAL is also referred to as the amount of money CJRS should ideally have in the trust. The NC is also referred to as the cost of benefits accruing during the year.

## Graph 7: Actuarial Accrued Liability

The graph below provides a history of the actuarial accrued liability over the past five years.


Commentary: The AAL increased from $\$ 642.5$ million to $\$ 681.9$ million during 2017. CJRS is an open plan, which means that new members enter the plan each year. In an open plan, liabilities are expected to grow from one year to next as more benefits accrue and the membership approaches retirement. The AAL prior to assumption and legislative changes was $\$ 20.2$ million higher than expected, which consisted of $\$ 12.7$ million due to assumption changes, $\$ 6.0$ million resulting from demographic losses, $\$ 1.1$ million due to transition to a new actuary, and legislative changes of $\$ 0.4$ million.

A detailed summary of the AAL is provided in Section 5 of this report.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Funded Ratio

The funded ratio is a measure of the progress that has been made in funding the plan as of the valuation date. It is the ratio of how much money CJRS actually has in the fund to the amount CJRS should have in the fund.

## Graph 8: Actuarial Accrued Liability and Actuarial Value of Assets

The graph below provides a history of the actuarial accrued liability and actuarial value of assets.


Commentary: The actuarial value of assets basis is used for computing contributions to alleviate contribution volatility. The difference in the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets is the amount of pension debt to be paid off in 12 years.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Funded Ratio (continued)

## Graph 9: Funded Ratios

The graph below provides a history of the funded ratio on a market and actuarial basis over the past five years.


Commentary: The ratio of assets to liabilities shows the health of the plan on an accrued basis. The funded ratio on an actuarial basis decreased from 87.9\% at December 31, 2016 to $86.1 \%$ at December 31, 2017.

## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Employer Contributions

G.S. 135-69 of the North Carolina General Statutes provides that the state shall make a normal contribution and an unfunded accrued liability contribution.

The December 31, 2016 valuation suggested that the preliminary total employer contribution rate be set at $32.35 \%$ of payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019. Subsequently, the 2018 Appropriations Act (Session Laws 2018-5) set contributions at $33.86 \%$ of payroll effective for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, which included an increase to account for recent legislation passed into law. As a result of this December 31, 2017 valuation, the preliminary actuarially determined employer contribution rate is $33.60 \%$ of payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020, subject to the impact of any future legislative changes effective during that fiscal year. On this basis, there is no preliminary reserve from undistributed gains that could be used for a cost-of-living adjustment or other benefit improvements.

## Graph 10: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution Rates

The graph below provides a history of actuarially determined employer contribution rates over the past five fiscal years. The rates are split into the normal rate and the accrued liability rate. The normal rate is the employer's portion of the cost of benefits accruing after reducing for the member contribution. The accrued liability rate is the payment toward the unfunded liability.


* Subject to the impact of future legislative changes effective during that fiscal year.
** Includes impact of the experience study.
Commentary: The actuarially determined employer required contribution rate is the amount needed to pay for the cost of the benefits accruing and to pay off the pension debt over 12 years, offset for the $6 \%$ of pay contribution the members make. The 12 -year period is a short period for Public Sector Retirement Systems in the United States, with the funding period of most of these Systems much longer. The shorter period results in higher contributions and more benefit security.
A detailed summary of the employer required contributions rates is provided in Section 6 of this report.


## Section 2: The Valuation Process

## Valuation Results: Accounting Information

The Governmental Account Standards Board (GASB) issues statements which establish financial reporting standards for defined benefit pension plans and accounting for pension expenditures and expenses for governmental employers.

The valuation has been prepared in accordance with the parameters of Statement No. 67 of the GASB and all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice. The Net Pension Liability (Asset) under GASB 67 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018, is $\$ 95,449,000$ (compared to $\$ 82,727,000$ for fiscal year ending June 30, 2017). The required financial reporting information for CJRS under GASB No. 67 can be found in Section 8 of this report.

## Section 3: Membership Data

The Retirement Systems Division provided membership data as of the valuation date for each member of CJRS. The membership data assists the actuary in estimating benefits that could be paid in the future. The tables below provide a summary of the membership data used in this valuation. Detailed tabulations of data are provided in Appendix B.

Table 2: Active Member Data

|  | Member Count | Average Age | Average Service | Reported Compensation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Judges of Supreme Court and Judges of Court of Appeals | 23 | 57.51 | 12.56 | \$ | 3,544,208 |
| Judges of the Superior Court and Administrative Officers of the Court | 106 | 58.57 | 15.66 |  | 15,802,092 |
| Judges of the District Court, District Attorneys, Clerks of the Superior Court, and Public Defenders | 433 | 57.51 | 12.56 |  | 52,380,621 |
| Total | 562 | 54.76 | 13.47 | \$ | 71,726,921 |

The table above includes members not in receipt of benefits who had reported compensation in 2017.

## Section 3: Membership Data

Table 3: Terminated Vested Member Data

|  | Member Count | Average Age | Average Service | Accumulated Contributions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Judges of Supreme Court and Judges of Court of Appeals | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ |
| Judges of the Superior Court and Administrative Officers of the Court | 3 | 48.44 | 4.69 | 142,213 |
| Judges of the District Court, District Attorneys, Clerks of the Superior Court, and Public Defenders | 41 | 54.69 | 4.13 | 1,508,358 |
| Total | 44 | 54.27 | 4.17 | \$ 1,650,571 |

The table above includes members not in receipt of benefits who did not have reported compensation in 2017.

## Section 3: Membership Data

Table 4: Data for Members Currently Receiving Benefits

*Includes retired members reported as disabled in a prior valuation and not subsequently reported as returned to work.

## Section 4: Asset Data

Assets are held in trust and are invested for the exclusive benefit of CJRS members. The tables below provide the details of the Market Value of Assets for the current and prior years' valuations.

Table 5: Market Value of Assets

| Asset Data as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of Year Market Value of Assets | \$ | 538,766,550 | \$ | 520,979,678 |
| Contributions |  | 28,011,274 |  | 27,123,101 |
| Benefit Payments |  | $(42,645,427)$ |  | $(41,293,727)$ |
| Investment Income |  | 71,550,605 |  | 31,957,498 |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) |  | 56,916,452 |  | 17,786,872 |
| End of Year Value of Assets | \$ | 595,683,002 | \$ | 538,766,550 |
| Estimated Net Investment Return |  | 13.46\% |  | 6.22\% |

Table 6: Allocation of Investments by Category of the Market Value of Assets

| Asset Data as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocation by Dollar Amount |  |  |  |  |
| Public Equity | \$ | 235,434,181 | \$ | 231,721,761 |
| Fixed Income (LTIF) |  | 155,704,922 |  | 144,112,590 |
| Cash and Receivables |  | 22,088,284 |  | 7,817,953 |
| Other* |  | 182,513,749 |  | 155,114,246 |
| Total Market Value of Assets | \$ | 595,741,136 | \$ | 538,766,550 |
| Allocation by Percentage of Asset Value |  |  |  |  |
| Public Equity |  | 39.6\% |  | 43.0\% |
| Fixed Income (LTIF) |  | 26.1\% |  | 26.7\% |
| Cash and Receivables |  | 3.7\% |  | 1.5\% |
| Other* |  | 30.6\% |  | 28.8\% |
| Total Market Value of Assets |  | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |

[^1]
## Section 4: Asset Data

In order to reduce the volatility that investment gains and losses can have on the required contributions and funded status of CJRS, the Board adopted an asset valuation method to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets used for funding purposes. The table below provides the calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets at the valuation date.

## Table 7: Actuarial Value of Assets

| Asset Data as of | $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Beginning of Year Market Value of Assets | $538,766,550$ |
| Contributions | $28,011,274$ |
| Benefit Payments and Refunds | $(42,645,427)$ |
| Net Cash Flow | $(14,634,153)$ |
|  |  |
| Expected Investment Return | $38,273,518$ |
| Expected End of Year Market Value of Assets | $562,405,915$ |
| End of Year Market Value of Assets | $595,683,002$ |
| Excess of Market Value over Expected Marted Value of Assets | $33,277,087$ |
| $80 \%$ of 2017 Asset Gain/(Loss) | $26,621,670$ |
| $60 \%$ of 2016 Asset Gain/(Loss) | $(3,179,906)$ |
| $40 \%$ of 2015 Asset Gain/(Loss) | $(14,535,261)$ |
| $20 \%$ of 2014 Asset Gain/(Loss) | N/A |
| Total Deferred Asset Gain/(Loss) | $8,906,503$ |
| Preliminary End of Year Actuarial Value of Assets | $586,776,499$ |
| Final End of Year Actuarial Value of Asset |  |
| (not less than 80\% and not greater than 120\% of Market Value) | $586,776,499$ |
| Estimated Net Investment Return on Actuarial Value | $6.57 \%$ |

Commentary: The actuarial value of assets smooths investment gains/losses, resulting in less volatility in the employer contribution. The asset valuation method recognizes asset returns in excess of or less than the expected return on the market value of assets over a five-year period.
Lower than expected market returns in 2015 and 2016, which were partially offset by greater than expected market returns in 2017, resulted in an actuarial value of asset return for calendar year 2016 of $6.57 \%$ and a recognized actuarial asset loss of $\$ 3.5$ million during 2017.

## Section 4: Asset Data

The valuation assumes that the funds will earn a $7.00 \%$ asset return. The table below provides a history of the Actuarial Value and Market Value of Asset returns.

Table 8: Historical Asset Returns

| Calendar <br> Year | Actuarial <br> Value of <br> Asset Return | Market <br> Value of <br> Asset Return |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1998 | $9.92 \%$ | $16.61 \%$ |
| 1999 | $15.74 \%$ | $10.03 \%$ |
| 2000 | $12.37 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| 2001 | $9.07 \%$ | $-1.74 \%$ |
| 2002 | $6.13 \%$ | $-4.84 \%$ |
| 2003 | $8.44 \%$ | $18.33 \%$ |
| 2004 | $8.95 \%$ | $10.73 \%$ |
| 2005 | $8.56 \%$ | $6.94 \%$ |
| 2006 | $9.17 \%$ | $11.35 \%$ |
| 2007 | $9.04 \%$ | $8.35 \%$ |
| 2008 | $3.01 \%$ | $-19.39 \%$ |
| 2009 | $4.88 \%$ | $14.83 \%$ |
| 2010 | $6.01 \%$ | $11.49 \%$ |
| 2011 | $5.25 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |
| 2012 | $6.42 \%$ | $11.79 \%$ |
| 2013 | $7.52 \%$ | $12.19 \%$ |
| 2014 | $7.26 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| 2015 | $5.87 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| 2016 | $5.33 \%$ | $6.22 \%$ |
| 2017 | $6.57 \%$ | $13.46 \%$ |
| Average | $7.74 \%$ | $6.52 \%$ |
| Range | $12.73 \%$ | $37.72 \%$ |

Commentary: The average investment return recognized for purposes of determining the annual change in contribution each year is the actuarial value of assets return. Currently, the average actuarial return of $7.74 \%$ tracks average market return of $6.52 \%$ relatively well. But the range of returns is markedly less $-12.73 \%$ versus $37.72 \%$. This results in much lower employer contribution volatility using the actuarial value of assets versus market, while ensuring that the actuarial needs of CJRS are met.

## Section 5: Liability Results

Using the provided membership data, benefit provisions, and actuarial assumptions, future benefit payments of CJRS are estimated. These projected future benefit payments are discounted into today's dollars using the assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits. The Present Value of Future Benefits is allocated to past, current and future service, respectively known as the actuarial accrued liability, normal cost and present value of future normal costs. The table below provides these liability numbers for the current and prior years' valuations.

## Table 9: Liability Summary

| Valuation Results as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Present Value of Future Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Active Members | \$ | 409,938,370 | \$ | 382,399,050 |
| (2) Terminated Members |  | 4,174,484 |  | 2,404,005 |
| (3) Members Currently Receiving Benefits |  | 420,408,179 |  | 393,976,711 |
| (4) Total | \$ | 834,521,033 | \$ | 778,779,766 |
| (b) Present Value of Future Normal Costs | \$ | 152,625,946 | \$ | 136,251,821 |
| (c) Actuarial Accrued Liability: (a4)-(b3) | \$ | 681,895,087 | \$ | 642,527,945 |
| (d) Actuarial Value of Assets | \$ | 586,776,499 | \$ | 564,809,316 |
| (e) Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: (c) - (d) | \$ | 95,118,588 | \$ | 77,718,629 |

## Section 5: Liability Results

The table below provides a reconciliation of the prior year's unfunded actuarial accrued liability to the current year's unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Table 10: Reconciliation of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

| (in millions) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) as of 12/31/2016 | $\$$ |
| Increase due to Transition to New Actuary | 77.7 |
| Normal Cost and Administrative Expense during 2017 | 1.1 |
| Reduction due to Actual Contributions during 2017 | 15.8 |
| Interest on UAAL, Normal Cost, and Contributions | $(28.0)$ |
| Asset (Gain) / Loss | 5.8 |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (Gain) / Loss | 3.5 |
| Impact of Assumption Changes | 6.1 |
| Impact of Legislative Changes | 12.7 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) as of 12/31/2017 | $\$$ |

Commentary: During 2017, there was a transition from the prior actuary to CMC, resulting in valuation programing, modifications and differences in methodologies, such as payroll increase timing, that increased the UAAL by $\$ 1.1$ million. In addition, during 2017, the UAAL increased faster than expected primarily due to assumption changes. The change in assumption reflects the change in interest rate from $7.20 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ and increased the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL), or pension debt, by $\$ 12.7$ million. The loss recognized in the actuarial value of assets during the year increased the UAAL by $\$ 3.5$ million. Additionally, changes in plan provisions increased the UAAL by $\$ 0.4$ million.

## Section 6: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution

The actuarially determined employer contribution consists of a normal cost rate and an accrued liability rate. The normal cost rate is the employer's portion of the cost of benefits accruing during the year after reducing for the member contribution. The accrued liability rate is the payment toward the unfunded accrued liability in order to pay off the unfunded accrued liability over 12 years. The table below provides the calculation of the actuarially determined employer contribution for the current and prior years' valuations.

Table 11: Calculation of the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADEC)

| Valuation Date <br> ADEC for Fiscal Year Ending |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 31 / 2017 \\ & 6 / 30 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 31 / 2016 \\ & 6 / 30 / 2019 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Cost Rate Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Normal Cost Rate* |  | 23.28\% |  | 21.46\% |
| (b) Employee Contribution Rate |  | 6.00\% |  | 6.00\% |
| (c) Total Normal Cost Rate: (a) - (b) |  | 17.28\% |  | 15.46\% |
| Death Benefit Rate Calculation** |  |  |  |  |
| (d) Death Benefit Normal Cost |  | N/A | \$ | 266,574 |
| (e) Valuation Compenation |  | N/A |  | 72,276,199 |
| (f) Death Benefit Rate: (d) / (e) |  | N/A |  | 0.37\% |
| Accrued Liability Rate Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| (g) Total Annual Amortization Payments** | \$ | 14,433,684 | \$ | 11,936,737 |
| (h) Valuation Compensation |  | 79,014,246 |  | 72,276,199 |
| (i) Accrued Liability Rate: (g)/ (h) |  | 18.27\% |  | 16.52\% |
| Preliminary ADEC (c) + (f) + (i) |  | 35.55\% |  | 32.35\% |
| ADEC With Direct-Rate Smoothing |  | 33.60\% |  | N/A |
| Impact of Legislative Changes |  | N/A |  | 1.51\% |
| Final ADEC |  | N/A |  | 33.86\% |
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## Section 6: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution

The table below provides a reconciliation of the actuarially determined employer contribution.
Table 12: Reconciliation of the Change in the ADEC

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 Preliminary ADEC |  |
| $\quad$ (based on December 31, 2016 valuation) | $32.35 \%$ |
| Impact of Legislative Changes | $\underline{0.00 \%}$ |
| Fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 ADEC for Reconciliation | $32.35 \%$ |
| Change Due to Transition to New Actuary | $(0.06 \%)$ |
| Change due to Anticpated Reduction in UAAL | $(0.56 \%)$ |
| Change Due to Demographic (Gain)/Loss | $1.03 \%$ |
| Change Due to Investment (Gain)/Loss | $0.60 \%$ |
| Change Due to Contributions Less (Greater) than ADEC | $(0.73 \%)$ |
| Impact of Assumption Changes | $2.92 \%$ |
| Impact of Direct-Rate Smoothing | $\underline{(1.95 \%)}$ |
| Fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 Preliminary ADEC |  |
| $\quad$(based on December 31, 2017 valuation) | $33.60 \%$ |

*The impact of legislative changes does not reflect the cost of the one-time pension supplement to be paid in October 2018, as the entire cost of this supplement was funded in the appropriated contribution for fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 and is not reflected in the ADEC for fiscal year ending June 30, 2020.
${ }^{* *}$ Amortization of the UAAL is determined as a level dollar amount with payments expected to remain the same over the amortization period, but was calculated as a percentage of valuation payroll in the previous valuation. Payroll is expected to increase annually while the expected amortization payment does not increase. This causes the expected amortization payment to be a lesser percentage of the expected payroll.

## Section 6: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution

Amortization methods determine the payment schedule for the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. CJRS adopted a 12 -year closed amortization period for fiscal year ending 2012. A new amortization base is created each year based on the prior year's experience. The tables below provide the calculation of the new amortization base and the amortization schedule for the current year's valuation.

Table 13: Calculation of the New Amortization Base

| Calculation as of | $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability* | $\$$ | $94,709,956$ | $\$$ | $77,718,629$ |
| (b) Prior Years' Outstanding Bases | $\$$ | $75,520,807$ | $\$$ | $63,849,747$ |
| (c) New Amortization Base: (a) - (b) | $\$$ | $19,189,149$ | $\$$ | $13,868,882$ |
| (d) New Amortization Payment | $\$$ | $2,585,036$ | $\$$ | $1,891,817$ |

* The unfunded actuarial accrued liability at December 31, 2017 does not reflect the cost of the one-time pension supplement to be paid in October 2018, as the entire cost of this supplement was funded in the appropriated contribution for fiscal year ending June 30, 2019.

Table 14: Amortization Schedule for Unfunded Accrued Liability

| Date <br> Established | Original <br> Balance |  | 12/31/2017 <br> Outstanding <br> Balance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2009 | \$ | 34,962,037 | \$ | 21,830,537 | \$ | 4,754,253 |
| December 31, 2010 |  | 3,913,729 |  | 2,795,584 |  | 531,669 |
| December 31, 2011 |  | 10,017,079 |  | 7,994,841 |  | 1,359,464 |
| December 31, 2012 |  | $(4,239,030)$ |  | $(3,714,557)$ |  | $(574,752)$ |
| December 31, 2013 |  | $(892,665)$ |  | $(847,271)$ |  | $(120,921)$ |
| December 31, 2014 |  | $(6,478,378)$ |  | $(6,589,121)$ |  | $(876,777)$ |
| December 31, 2015 |  | 36,271,204 |  | 39,183,352 |  | 4,903,895 |
| December 31, 2016 |  | 13,868,882 |  | 14,867,442 |  | 1,871,817 |
| December 31, 2017 |  | 19,189,149 |  | 19,189,149 |  | 2,585,036 |
| Total |  |  | \$ | 94,709,956 | \$ | 14,433,684 |

Commentary: This is the payment schedule for the pension debt of CJRS.

## Section 6: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution

The table below provides a history of the actuarially determined employer contribution and the corresponding appropriated rate.

Table 15: History of Actuarially Determined Employer Contributions and Appropriated Rates

| Valuation Date | Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Normal Rate* | Accrued <br> Liability Rate | Change due <br> to <br> Legislation** | Total ADEC | Appropriated <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2017$ | $6 / 30 / 2020$ | $17.28 \%$ | $16.32 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2016$ | $6 / 30 / 2019$ | $15.83 \%$ | $16.52 \%$ | $1.51 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2015$ | $6 / 30 / 2018$ | $15.95 \%$ | $14.28 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $31.05 \%$ | $31.05 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2014$ | $6 / 30 / 2017$ | $17.95 \%$ | $7.14 \%$ | $4.37 \%$ | $29.46 \%$ | $29.46 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2013$ | $6 / 30 / 2016$ | $17.97 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $26.37 \%$ | $27.21 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Includes Death Benefit rate
** For fiscal year ending 6/30/2017, the change due to legislation for the contribution includes a $3.44 \%$ increase in the ADEC due to the experience study and a $0.93 \%$ increase in the ADEC due to the one-time pension supplement to be paid on or before October 31, 2016. The fiscal year ending $6 / 30 / 2019$ amount includes $0.60 \%$ for the one-time cost-of-living supplement to be paid in October 2018 and an additional $0.91 \%$ based on the appropriated contribution rate of $33.86 \%$ which was higher than the $32.35 \%$ preliminary ADEC calculated in the December 31, 2016 valuation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019.

Table 16: Cost of Benefit Enhancements

| Calculation as of | 12/31/2017 | 12/31/2016 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Increase in UAAL for a 1\% COLA | $\$, 662,000$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Increase in ADEC for a $1 \%$ COLA | $0.79 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ |

* The 1\% COLA calculated at the December 31, 2017 valuation would be effective July 1, 2018. The COLA would be paid in full to retired members and survivors of deceased members on the retirement roll on July 1, 2017 and would be prorated for retired members and survivors of deceased members who commence benefits after July 1, 2017 but before June 30, 2018.


## Section 7: Valuation Balance Sheet

The valuation balance sheet shows the assets and liabilities of CJRS. The items shown in the balance sheet are present values actuarially determined as of the relevant valuation date. The table below provides the valuation balance sheet for the current year and prior year.

Table 17: Valuation Balance Sheet

| Balance Sheet as of | 12/31/2017 |  | 12/31/2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Annuity Savings Fund | \$ | 64,311,122 | \$ | 63,578,490 |
| Pension Accumulation Fund |  | 522,465,377 |  | 501,230,826 |
| Total | \$ | 586,776,499 | \$ | 564,809,316 |
| Future Member Contributions to the Annuity | \$ | 39,016,822 | \$ | 36,791,245 |
| Prospective Contributions to the Pension |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulation Fund |  |  |  |  |
| Normal Contributions | \$ | 114,017,756 | \$ | 99,460,576 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability Contributions |  | 95,118,588 |  | 77,718,629 |
| Undistributed Gain / (Loss) Contributions |  | $(10,989,738)$ |  | $(6,096,134)$ |
| Total | \$ | 198,146,606 | \$ | 171,083,071 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 823,939,927 | \$ | 772.683.632 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Annuity Savings Fund |  |  |  |  |
| Past Member Contributions | \$ | 64,311,122 | \$ | 63,578,490 |
| Future Member Contributions |  | 39,016,822 |  | 36,791,245 |
| Total Contributions | \$ | 103,327,944 | \$ | 100,369,735 |
| Pension Accumulaton Fund |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits Currently in Payment | \$ | 420,408,179 | \$ | 389,775,797 |
| Benefits to be Paid to Currrent Active Members |  | 310,784,910 |  | 284,433,320 |
| Reserve for Increases in Retirement |  |  |  |  |
| Allowances* |  | 408,632 |  | 4,200,914 |
| Reserve for Undistributed Gains / (Losses) |  | (10,989,738) |  | $(6,096,134)$ |
| Total Benefits Payable | \$ | 720,611,983 | \$ | 672,313,897 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 823,939,927 | \$ | 772,683,632 |

Note: Reserve for Undistributed Gains/(Losses) represents the excess (shortfall) of the present value of future contributions for the current funding in effect based on the prior valuation to the present value of future contributions for the ADEC based on the current valuation. An undistributed gain in this balance sheet should not be construed as eligibility for payment of a COLA.

## Section 8: Accounting Results

This section contains the accounting information for Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 67 for fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 based on a valuation date of December 31, 2017.

Please note that GASB Statement No. 67 (Financial Reporting for Pension Plans) is applicable for fiscal years ending 2014 and later.

The June 30, 2018 total pension liability presented in this section was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2017, based on the assumptions, methods and plan provisions described in this report. The actuarial cost method used to develop the total pension liability is the Entry Age Normal Cost method, as required by GASB Statement No. 67.

GASB Statement No. 67 set forth certain items of information to be disclosed in the financial statements of the Plan. The tables below provide a distribution of the number of employees by type of membership.

Table 18: Number of Active and Retired Members as of December 31, 2017

| Number of Active and Retired Participants <br> as of December 31, 2017 <br> Group |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Retired members and survivors of deceased <br> members currently receiving benefits | Number |
| Terminated members and survivors of deceased <br> members entitled to benefits but not yet <br> receiving benefits | 682 |
| Active members |  |
| Total | 44 |

## Section 8: Accounting Results

GASB Statement No. 67 set forth certain items of information to be disclosed in the financial statements of the Plan. The tables below provide the schedule of changes in Net Pension Liability (Asset).

Table 19: Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability (Asset)

$$
\text { Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability as of June 30, } 2018
$$

| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Cost | \$ | 17,192,000 |
| Interest |  | 45,397,000 |
| Changes of Benefit Terms* |  | 430,000 |
| Difference between Expected and Actual Experience |  | 7,660,000 |
| Change of Assumptions |  | 12,836,000 |
| Benefit Payments, including Refund of Member Contributions |  | (43,392,000) |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability |  | 40,123,000 |
| Total Pension Liability - Beginning of Year | \$ | 651,830,000 |
| Total Pension Liability - End of Year | \$ | 691,953,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$ | 23,988,000 |
| Member Contributions |  | 5,706,000 |
| Net Investment Income |  | 41,123,000 |
| Benefit Payments, including Refund of Member Contributions |  | $(43,392,000)$ |
| Administrative Expenses |  | $(24,000)$ |
| Other |  |  |
| Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  | 27,401,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning of Year |  | 569,103,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - End of Year |  | 596,504,000 |

Table 20: Net Pension Liability (Asset)

| Net Pension Liability (Asset) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2018 | June 30, 2017 |
| Total Pension Liability | \$ 691,953,000 | \$ 651,830,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position | 596,504,000 | 569,103,000 |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | \$ 95,449,000 | \$ 82,727,000 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability (Asset) | 86.21\% | 87.31\% |

## Section 8: Accounting Results

The table below is the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate.
Table 21: Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability at June 30, 2018 to Changes in the Discount Rate

| Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability <br> to Changes in the Discount Rate <br> 1\% Decrease |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current |  |  |  |  | 1\% Increase |
|  | $6.00 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Discount Rate | $\$ 95,449,000$ | $\$ 35,023,000$ |  |  |  |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | $\$ 166,068,000$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.00 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that System contributions will continue to follow the current funding policy, including "direct-rate smoothing" as adopted by the Board on April 26, 2018. Based on those assumptions, the System's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Please see Appendix E for additional detail.

The table below provides the methods and assumptions used to calculate the actuarially determined contribution rate.

Table 22: Additional Information for GASB Statement No. 67

| Valuation Date | 12/31/2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age |
| Amortization Method | Level dollar closed |
| Amortization Period | 12 year closed periods |
| Asset Valuation Method | Asset returns in excess of or less than the expected return on market value of assets reflected over a five-year period (not greater than $120 \%$ of market value and not less than $80 \%$ of market value) |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| Investment Rate of Return* | 7.00\% |
| Projected Salary Increases** | 3.50\%-5.50\% |
| *Includes Inflation of | 3.00\% |
| **Includes Inflation and Productivity of | 3.50\% |
| Cost-of-living Adjustments | N/A |

## Appendix A: Valuation Process and Glossary of Actuarial Terms

## Purpose of an Actuarial Valuation

The majority of Public Sector Retirement Systems in the State of North Carolina are defined benefit (DB) retirement systems. Under a DB retirement system, the amount of benefits payable to a member upon retirement, termination, death or disability is defined in various contracts and legal instruments and is based, in part, on the member's years of credited service and final compensation. The amount of contribution needed to fund these benefits cannot be known with certainty. A primary responsibility of the Board of Trustees of a Retirement System is to establish and monitor a funding policy for the contributions made to the Retirement System.

While somewhat uncommon, in some jurisdictions, contributions are made by the plan sponsor as benefits come due. This is known as pay-as-you-go financing. More commonly, contributions for benefits are made in advance during the course of active employment of the members. This is known as actuarial prefunding. For example, the State of North Carolina mandates for the Teachers' and State Employees' Retirement System ("TSERS") that "on account of each member there shall be paid into the pension accumulation fund by employers an amount equal to a certain percentage of the actual compensation of each member to be known as the 'normal contribution' and an additional amount equal to a percentage of the member's actual compensation to be known as the 'accrued liability contribution'. The rate per centum of such contributions shall be fixed on the basis of the liabilities of the Retirement System as shown by actuarial valuation, duly approved by the Board of Trustees, and shall be called the 'actuarially determined employer contribution rate'. The actuarially determined employer contribution rate shall be calculated annually by the actuary using assumptions and a cost method approved by the Actuarial Standards Board of the American Academy of Actuaries and selected by the Board of Trustees."

## The Actuarial Valuation Process

The following diagram summarizes the inputs and results of the actuarial valuation process. A narrative of the process follows the diagram. The reader may find it worthwhile to refer to the diagram from time to time.


Under the actuarial valuation process, current information about Retirement System members is collected annually by staff at the direction of the actuary, namely member data, asset data and information on benefit provisions. Member data is collected for each member of the Retirement System. The member data will assist the actuary in estimating benefits that could be paid in the future. The member information the actuary collects to estimate the amount of benefit includes elements such as current service, salary and benefit group identifier for members that have not separated service; for those that have, the actual benefit amounts are collected. The actuary collects information such as gender and date of birth to determine when a benefit might be paid and for how long. The actuary
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collects summary information about assets as of the valuation date and information on cash flows for the year ending on the valuation date. Information about benefit provisions as of the valuation date is also collected. To bridge the gap between the information collected and potential benefits to be paid in the future, the actuary must make assumptions about future activities. These assumptions are recommended by the actuary to the Boards based on the results of an experience review. An experience review is a review of the Retirement System over a period of time, typically five years, where the actuary analyzes the demographic and economic assumptions of the Retirement System. Based on this review, the actuary will make recommendations on the demographic assumptions, such as when members will be projected to retire, terminate, become disabled and/or die in the future, as well as the economic assumptions, such as what rate of return is projected to be earned by the fund based on the Retirement System investment policy and what level of future salary increases is expected for members. To maintain the assumptions, the Board should adopt a prudent policy of having an experience review being performed every five years. The next experience review for the North Carolina Retirement Systems will be based on the five-year period ending on December 31, 2019 and will be presented during 2020. Using these assumptions, the actuary is able to use the member data, asset data and benefit provision information collected to project the benefits that will be paid from the Retirement System to current members. These projected future benefit payments are based not only on service and pay through the valuation date but includes future pay and service, which has not yet been earned by the members but is expected to be earned.

These projected future benefit payments are discounted into today's dollars using the assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of the Retirement System. The PVFB is an estimate of the value of the benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date. If the Retirement System held assets equal to the PVFB and all the assumptions were realized, there would be sufficient funds to pay off all the benefits to be paid in the future for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date.

The PVFB is a large sum of money, typically much larger than the amount of Retirement System assets held in the trust. The next step is for the actuary to apply the Funding Policy as adopted by the Board to determine the employer contributions to be made to the Retirement System so that the gap between the PVFB and assets is systematically paid off over time. The Funding Policy is adopted by the Board based on discussions with the actuary. When the Board develops a funding policy, a balance between contributions which are responsive to the needs of the Retirement System yet stable should be struck. There are many different funding policies for the Board to consider, and the actuary is responsible for discussing the various features of the funding policies under consideration. Funding Policies are generally reviewed during an experience review, but it is not uncommon to review a funding policy in between, particularly during period where large increases or decreases in contributions are expected. The Funding Policy is composed of three components: the actuarial cost method, the asset valuation method, and the amortization method.

Once the PVFB is developed, an actuarial cost method is used to allocate the PVFB. Under the actuarial cost method, the PVFB is allocated to past, current and future service, respectively known as the actuarial accrued liability (AAL), normal cost (NC) and present value of future normal costs (PVFNC). The actuary computes the liability components (PVFB, NC, AAL, and PVFNC) for each participant in the Retirement System at the valuation date. These liability components are then totaled for the Retirement System. There are many actuarial cost methods. Different actuarial methods will produce different contribution patterns, but do not change the ultimate cost of the benefits. The entry age normal cost method is the most prevalent method used for public sector plans in the United States, because the expected normal cost is calculated in such a way that it will tend to stay level as a percent of pay over a member's career. Most of the North Carolina Retirement Systems use the entry age
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normal cost method.
The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is also referred to as the amount of money the Retirement System should ideally have in the trust. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the portion of actuarial accrued liability that is not covered by the assets of the Retirement System. The UAAL can be a negative number, which means that the Retirement System has more assets than actuarial accrued liability. We refer to this condition as overfunded liability in this summary. Having UAAL does not indicate that the Retirement System is in failing actuarial health. UAAL is a common occurrence. Currently, many Retirement Systems in the United States have UAAL as a result of the Great Recession of 2008. Another related statistic of the Retirement System is the funded ratio. The funded ratio is the percent of the actuarial accrued liabilities covered by the actuarial value of assets. The assets used for these purposes are an actuarial value of assets (AVA), not market. The actuarial value of assets is based on the asset valuation method as recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board. An actuarial value of assets is a smoothed, or averaged, value of assets, which is used to limit employer contribution volatility. Typically, assets are smoothed, or averaged, over a period of 3 to 5 years, although longer periods are becoming more common. By averaging returns, the UAAL is not as volatile, which we will see later results in contributions that are not as volatile as well. The North Carolina Retirement Systems use an actuarial value of assets with a smoothing period of 5 years.

While having UAAL is common, it is acceptable only if it is systematically being paid off. The method by which the UAAL is paid off is known as the amortization method. The concept is similar to that of a mortgage payment. The Board adopts the amortization method used to pay off the UAAL over a period of time. The amortization method is composed of the amortization period, the amount of payment increase, whether the period is open or closed and by the amount of amortization schedules. The amortization period is the amount of time over which the UAAL will be paid off. This is generally a period of thirty years or less, but actuaries are beginning to recommend shorter periods. The payments can be developed to stay constant from year to year like a mortgage, but often they are developed to increase each year at the same level payroll increases. Amortization type can be closed or open. Under a closed period, the UAAL is expected to be paid off over the amortization period. This is similar to a typical mortgage. Under an open period, the amortization period remains unchanged year after year. The concept is similar to re-mortgaging annually. In many instances, an amortization schedule is developed, whereby the UAAL is amortized over a closed period from the point the UAAL is incurred. Finally, some amortization methods are defined by a schedule of payments, where a new schedule of payments is added with each valuation. Regardless of the amortization type or period, the funding policy should generate a contribution that pays off the UAAL, which results in the funded ratio trending to $100 \%$ over time. Caution should be used when an open method is used, because typically an open amortization policy does not result in the UAAL being paid off. North Carolina pays off a much larger amount of UAAL compared to other states. While many states struggle to pay a 30 -year level percent of pay UAAL contribution, which doesn't even reduce the amount of UAAL, North Carolina pays down the UAAL with level dollar payments over 12 years. This aggressive payment schedule of the UAAL results in North Carolina being home to many of the best funded Public Retirement Systems in the United States.

## Appendix A: Valuation Process and Glossary of Actuarial Terms

To satisfy the requirements of the State of North Carolina, the actuary calculates the total annual contribution to the Retirement System as the normal cost plus a contribution towards UAAL. Said another way, this contribution is sufficient to pay for the cost of benefits accruing during the year (normal cost) plus the mortgage payment (UAAL payment). The total contribution is reduced by the amount of member contributions, if any, to arrive at the employer contribution. Continuing to follow the aggressive North Carolina contribution policy will keep the North Carolina Retirement Systems among the best funded in the United States.

An actuarial valuation report is produced annually, which contains the contribution for the fiscal year as well as the funded ratio of the Retirement System. The primary purpose of performing an actuarial valuation annually is to replace the estimated activities from the previous valuation, which were based on assumptions, with the actual experience of the Retirement System for the prior year. The experience gain (loss) is the difference between the expected and the actual UAAL of the Retirement System. An experience loss can be thought of as the amount of additional UAAL over and above the amount that was expected from the prior year due to deviation of actual experience from the assumption. Similarly, an experience gain can be thought of as having less UAAL than that which was expected from the prior year assumptions. As an example, if the Retirement System achieves an asset return of $15 \%$ when the assumption was a $7.00 \%$ return, an actuarial gain is said to have happened, which typically results in lower contributions and higher funded ratio, all else being equal. Alternatively, a return of $2 \%$ under the same circumstances would result in an actuarial loss, requiring an increase in contributions and a funded ratio that is loJwer than anticipated. Experience gains and losses are common within the valuation process. Typically gains and losses offset each other over time. To the extent that does not occur, the reasons for the gains and losses should be understood, and appropriate recommendations should be made by the actuary after an experience review to adjust the assumptions.

The actuarial valuation report will contain histories of key statistics from prior actuarial valuation reports. In particular, a history of the funded ratio of the Retirement System is an important exhibit. Trustees should understand the reason for the trend of the funded ratio of the Retirement System over time. The actuary will discuss the reasons for changes in the funded ratio of the Retirement System with each valuation report. To the extent that there are unexplained changes in funded ratio corrective action should be explored and the actuary will make recommendations as to whether there should be changes in the assumptions, funding policy, or some other portion of the actuarial valuation process.

In addition to historical information, projections of contributions and funded ratio based on current assumptions can sometimes be found in an actuarial valuation report. Projections of contributions can allow the employer to plan their budget accordingly. Surprises in Retirement System contributions to be paid by the employer serve no one. A one-year projection based on "bad" asset returns can provide ample time for the employer to plan, or allow for a discussion of changing the funding policy to occur. Contribution surprises are a primary contributor to employers considering pension reform. It is important to keep the employer apprised of future contribution requirements. A projection of funded ratio can serve the Trustees by illustrating the trend of the funded ratio over time. The funded ratio, under a prudent funding policy, should trend to $100 \%$ over a period of less than 30 years. (It is worthwhile to note that while 30 years has served as an industry standard for the longest period over which $100 \%$ funding should be achieved, that period is coming under scrutiny by the actuarial community and will likely be shortened.) If a projection funded ratio does not trend to $100 \%$ over time, consideration should be given to fixing the funding policy to achieve this goal. For the North Carolina Retirement Systems, projections are generally performed for the January board meetings. While the projection period has tended to be limited to five years, a longer projection would show the funded ratio trend to $100 \%$ much faster than other Public Retirement Systems.
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The actuarial report will contain schedules of information about the census, plan and asset information submitted by Retirement System staff upon which the actuarial valuation is based. It is important that the Board of Trustees review that information and determine if the information is consistent with their understanding of the Retirement System. If after questioning staff, the Board of Trustees is not comfortable that the information provided is correct, the actuary should be notified to determine if the actuarial valuation report should be corrected.
Finally, the valuation report and/or presentation should contain sufficient information in an understandable fashion to allow the Board to take action and adopt the contribution rate for the upcoming year. It should also allow stakeholders to understand key observations over the past year that resulted in contributions increasing (or decreasing) and where contributions are headed. The actuary is always open to making the results understandable. The actuary works with the North Carolina Retirement Systems Division to make your reports and presentations understandable and actionable. If something doesn't make sense - speak up!!
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## Glossary

Note that the first definitions given are the "official" definitions of the term. For some terms there is a second definition, in italics, which is the unofficial definition.

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL). The portion of the Present Value of Projected Benefits (PVFB) allocated to past service. Also difference between (i) the actuarial present value of future benefits, and (ii) the present value of future normal cost. Sometimes referred to as "accrued liability" or "past service liability." The amount of money that should be in the fund. The funding target.

Actuarial Assumptions. Estimates of future plan experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, retirement, investment income and salary increases. Demographic ("people") assumptions (rates of mortality, separation, and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic ("money") assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate appropriate in an inflationfree environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation. Estimates of future events used to project what we know now- current member data, assets, and benefit provisions into an estimate of future benefits.

Actuarial Cost Method. A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the Present Value of Projected Benefits (PVFB) between the normal costs to be paid in the future and the actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

Actuarial Methods. The collective term for the Actuarial Cost Method, the Amortization Payment for UAAL Method, and the Asset Valuation Method used to develop the contribution requirements for the Retirement System. The funding policy.

Actuarial Equivalent. Benefits whose actuarial present values are equal.
Actuarial Present Value. The amount of funds presently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments at a predetermined rate of interest, taking into account the probability of payment.

Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA). A smoothed value of assets which is used to limit contribution volatility. Also known as the funding value of assets. Smoothed value of assets.
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Amortization Payment for UAAL. Payment of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability by means of periodic contributions of interest and principal, as opposed to a lump sum payment. The components of the amortization payment for UAAL includes:

- Amortization Period Length - Generally amortization periods of up to 15 to 20 years (and certainly not longer than 30) are allowed. Similar to a mortgage, the shorter the amortization period, the higher the payment and the faster the UAAL is paid off.
- Amortization payment increases - Future payments can be level dollar, like a mortgage, or as a level percent of pay. Most Retirement Systems amortize UAAL as a level percent of pay which when combined with the employer normal cost that is developed as a level percent of pay can result in contributions that are easier to budget.
- Amortization type - An amortization schedule can be closed or open. A closed amortization schedule is similar to a mortgage - at the end of the amortization period the UAAL is designed to be paid off. An open amortization period is similar to refinancing the UAAL year after year.
- Amortization schedule - UAAL can be amortized over a single amortization period, or it can be amortized over a schedule.

The amortization payment for UAAL can be thought of as the UAAL mortgage payment.
Asset Valuation Method. The components of how the actuarial value of assets is to be developed CJRS uses a five-year smoothing of asset gains and losses, which is the most commonly used method.

Experience Gain (Loss). A measure of the difference between actual experience and experience anticipated by a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, in accordance with the actuarial cost method being used. The experience Gain (Loss) represents how much the actuary missed the mark in a given year.

Funded Ratio. The percent of the actuarial accrued liabilities covered by the actuarial value of assets. Also known as the funded status. The ratio of how much money you actually have in the fund to the amount you should have in the fund.

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned, under the actuarial funding method, to current and subsequent plan years. Sometimes referred to as "current service cost." An amortization payment toward the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is paid in addition to the normal cost to arrive at the total contribution in a given year. The cost of benefits accruing during the year.

Present Value of Future Normal Cost (PVFNC). The portion of the Present Value of Projected Benefits (PVFB) allocated to future service. The value in today's dollars of the amount of contribution to be made in the future for benefits accruing for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date.
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Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB). The projected future benefit payments of the plan are discounted into today's dollars using an assumed rate of investment return assumption to determine the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) of the Retirement System. The PVFB is the discounted value of the projected benefits promised to all members as of a valuation date, including future pay and service for members which has not yet been earned. If the Retirement System held assets equal to the PVFB and all the assumptions were realized, there would be sufficient funds to pay off all the benefits to be paid in the future for members in the Retirement System as of the valuation date.

Reserve Account. An account used to indicate that funds have been set aside for a specific purpose and are not generally available for other uses.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). The difference between the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) and actuarial value of assets (AVA). The UAAL is sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability." Funding shortfall, or prefunded amount if negative.

Valuation Date. The date that the actuarial valuation calculations are performed as of. Also known as the "snapshot date".

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

Table B-1: The Number and Average Reported Compensation of Active Members Distributed by Age and Service as of December 31, 2017

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 1 | 1 to 4 | 5 to 9 | 10 to 14 | 15 to 19 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 30 to 34 | 35 to 39 | 40 \& Up | Total |
| Under 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 to 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 to 34 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 14,147 | 102,571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94,532 |
| 35 to 39 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 22,521 | 120,126 | 121,721 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107,179 |
| 40 to 44 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
|  | 20,778 | 115,929 | 125,622 | 132,387 | 128,369 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117,965 |
| 45 to 49 | 0 | 27 | 33 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
|  | 0 | 122,819 | 120,557 | 132,141 | 127,252 | 141,056 | 95,788 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124,543 |
| 50 to 54 | 1 | 13 | 24 | 12 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
|  | 40,816 | 113,659 | 125,165 | 123,404 | 138,767 | 132,474 | 138,574 | 106,744 | 0 | 0 | 127,490 |
| 55 to 59 | 0 | 23 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 109 |
|  | 0 | 122,002 | 120,195 | 129,814 | 127,007 | 136,155 | 145,087 | 120,291 | 101,634 | 0 | 128,392 |
| 60 to 64 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 96 |
|  | 0 | 113,896 | 132,604 | 132,055 | 133,804 | 139,963 | 149,347 | 132,839 | 127,822 | 103,592 | 132,060 |
| 65 to 69 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 73 |
|  | 0 | 121,963 | 127,447 | 129,183 | 137,732 | 156,774 | 158,987 | 146,628 | 150,549 | 117,902 | 137,324 |
| 70 \& Over | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 20 |
|  | 0 | 148,496 | 124,263 | 132,154 | 155,996 | 154,163 | 0 | 155,996 | 169,088 | 128,674 | 140,825 |
| Total | 6 | 131 | 114 | 81 | 76 | 69 | 42 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 562 |
|  | 23,884 | 117,880 | 123,877 | 129,754 | 134,370 | 141,866 | 142,434 | 130,557 | 132,578 | 119,349 | 127,628 |

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

Table B-2: The Number and Reported Compensation of Active Members Distributed by Age as of December 31, 2017

| Age | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Compensation | Number | Compensation |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14,147 |
| 31 | 1 | 116,710 | 2 | 233,420 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 195,477 |
| 33 | 2 | 181,254 | 1 | 116,710 |
| 34 | 1 | 116,710 | 1 | 65,428 |
| 35 | 2 | 128,196 | 1 | 116,710 |
| 36 | 2 | 250,557 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 6 | 740,516 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 3 | 382,865 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 5 | 440,117 | 3 | 298,975 |
| 40 | 3 | 371,363 | 4 | 472,444 |
| 41 | 5 | 536,979 | 3 | 350,130 |
| 42 | 3 | 327,712 | 6 | 746,473 |
| 43 | 5 | 610,058 | 3 | 326,368 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 505,208 |
| 45 | 8 | 978,369 | 5 | 611,570 |
| 46 | 15 | 1,911,436 | 4 | 523,545 |
| 47 | 13 | 1,647,849 | 11 | 1,348,911 |
| 48 | 9 | 1,163,976 | 9 | 1,079,182 |
| 49 | 12 | 1,472,587 | 13 | 1,592,296 |
| 50 | 10 | 1,238,358 | 6 | 737,249 |
| 51 | 13 | 1,780,995 | 8 | 1,038,710 |
| 52 | 14 | 1,893,328 | 11 | 1,336,983 |
| 53 | 9 | 1,173,434 | 10 | 1,175,084 |
| 54 | 6 | 780,443 | 9 | 1,084,486 |
| 55 | 13 | 1,821,813 | 12 | 1,471,124 |
| 56 | 8 | 1,045,206 | 13 | 1,690,945 |
| 57 | 13 | 1,740,022 | 9 | 1,148,348 |
| 58 | 15 | 1,987,496 | 9 | 1,021,211 |
| 59 | 8 | 1,059,142 | 9 | 1,009,409 |
| 60 | 13 | 1,708,868 | 6 | 639,976 |
| 61 | 16 | 2,287,131 | 9 | 994,736 |
| 62 | 13 | 1,772,840 | 5 | 663,982 |
| 63 | 16 | 2,201,438 | 4 | 535,003 |
| 64 | 11 | 1,508,574 | 3 | 365,220 |
| 65 | 19 | 2,662,061 | 3 | 371,257 |
| 66 | 12 | 1,592,513 | 2 | 325,703 |
| 67 | 9 | 1,285,159 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | 13 | 1,726,441 | 3 | 403,792 |
| 69 | 8 | 1,127,357 | 4 | 530,346 |
| 70 | 5 | 685,513 | 2 | 310,674 |
| 71 | 7 | 1,034,789 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 2 | 325,084 | 1 | 109,976 |
| 73 | 2 | 240,478 | 0 | 0 |
| 87 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 109,976 |
| Total | 350 | 46,055,737 | 212 | 25,671,184 |
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Table B-3: The Number and Reported Compensation of Active Members Distributed by Service as of December 31, 2017

| Service | Number | Men <br> Compensation | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Compensation |
| 0 | 3 | 46,055 | 3 | 97,249 |
| 1 | 19 | 2,185,000 | 22 | 2,338,008 |
| 2 | 12 | 1,521,547 | 1 | 116,710 |
| 3 | 40 | 4,929,805 | 19 | 2,198,613 |
| 4 | 13 | 1,608,064 | 5 | 544,546 |
| 5 | 18 | 2,181,260 | 16 | 1,809,220 |
| 6 | 1 | 121,813 | 2 | 244,628 |
| 7 | 20 | 2,565,389 | 16 | 1,960,984 |
| 8 | 7 | 945,739 | 5 | 606,766 |
| 9 | 14 | 1,875,709 | 15 | 1,810,494 |
| 10 | 9 | 1,125,426 | 5 | 618,143 |
| 11 | 21 | 2,733,993 | 8 | 1,023,871 |
| 12 | 10 | 1,357,631 | 1 | 127,918 |
| 13 | 14 | 1,841,463 | 9 | 1,231,974 |
| 14 | 2 | 224,542 | 2 | 225,126 |
| 15 | 13 | 1,702,416 | 9 | 1,101,403 |
| 16 | 2 | 299,222 | 3 | 392,120 |
| 17 | 17 | 2,369,921 | 9 | 1,261,046 |
| 18 | 8 | 1,111,879 | 1 | 119,823 |
| 19 | 10 | 1,301,269 | 4 | 553,000 |
| 20 | 5 | 693,717 | 3 | 394,946 |
| 21 | 8 | 1,133,526 | 6 | 822,834 |
| 22 | 5 | 692,052 | 7 | 996,600 |
| 23 | 21 | 2,997,945 | 2 | 329,538 |
| 24 | 8 | 1,243,220 | 4 | 484,417 |
| 25 | 5 | 765,089 | 5 | 770,790 |
| 26 | 1 | 149,410 | 1 | 92,948 |
| 27 | 8 | 1,269,614 | 2 | 265,972 |
| 28 | 5 | 600,634 | 2 | 266,682 |
| 29 | 8 | 1,204,077 | 5 | 597,012 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 127,334 |
| 31 | 5 | 674,223 | 1 | 122,314 |
| 32 | 2 | 264,298 | 2 | 230,730 |
| 33 | 4 | 638,902 | 5 | 514,800 |
| 34 | 1 | 169,088 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 137,842 |
| 36 | 1 | 97,553 | 2 | 270,124 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 109,976 |
| 38 | 4 | 660,663 | 2 | 217,571 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 97,208 |
| 40 | 1 | 158,363 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 1 | 105,716 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 1 | 97,208 | 2 | 219,952 |
| 43 | 1 | 158,363 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 2 | 233,933 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 109,976 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 109,976 |
| Total | 350 | 46,055,737 | 212 | 25,671,184 |

# Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data 

## B-4: The Number and Accumulated Contributions of Terminated Vested Members Distributed

 by Age as of December 31, 2017| Age | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Contributions | Number | Contributions |
| 35 | 1 | 3,302 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11,041 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4,540 |
| 46 | 1 | 42,322 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 3 | 26,698 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 1 | 46,155 | 1 | 47,733 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 56,273 |
| 50 | 3 | 134,260 | 1 | 73,034 |
| 51 | 2 | 44,210 | 1 | 4,407 |
| 52 | 2 | 59,399 | 2 | 12,013 |
| 53 | 1 | 33,964 | 1 | 47,976 |
| 54 | 1 | 14,596 | 1 | 5,236 |
| 55 | 1 | 36,061 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | 1 | 77,716 | 1 | 91,463 |
| 57 | 2 | 97,344 | 0 | 0 |
| 58 | 1 | 41,268 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | 1 | 19,756 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 3 | 192,266 | 2 | 260,532 |
| 64 | 1 | 31,608 | 1 | 1,454 |
| 65 | 1 | 48,050 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | 2 | 23,884 | 1 | 43,760 |
| 70 | 1 | 18,251 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 29 | 991,110 | 15 | 659,462 |

# Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data 

Table B-5: The Number and Annual Retirement Allowances of Retired Members (Healthy at Retirement) and Survivors of Deceased Members Distributed by Age as of December 31, 2017

| Age | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Allowances | Number | Allow ances |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 234,738 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 205,301 |
| 54 | 2 | 81,621 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 3 | 245,792 | 2 | 37,292 |
| 56 | 2 | 125,050 | 2 | 89,095 |
| 57 | 1 | 21,469 | 2 | 99,301 |
| 58 | 3 | 232,172 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | 2 | 81,133 | 3 | 208,654 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 286,699 |
| 61 | 7 | 567,858 | 12 | 739,902 |
| 62 | 3 | 228,731 | 9 | 610,653 |
| 63 | 13 | 960,208 | 12 | 641,817 |
| 64 | 12 | 772,608 | 11 | 577,972 |
| 65 | 10 | 651,814 | 8 | 394,861 |
| 66 | 21 | 1,565,416 | 11 | 588,777 |
| 67 | 13 | 999,523 | 15 | 971,700 |
| 68 | 26 | 1,866,281 | 11 | 701,011 |
| 69 | 24 | 1,824,663 | 7 | 464,455 |
| 70 | 23 | 1,694,174 | 5 | 344,300 |
| 71 | 28 | 2,167,127 | 8 | 404,771 |
| 72 | 29 | 2,002,412 | 16 | 893,984 |
| 73 | 17 | 1,199,362 | 6 | 294,679 |
| 74 | 20 | 1,425,541 | 5 | 208,251 |
| 75 | 21 | 1,455,753 | 7 | 538,460 |
| 76 | 12 | 944,485 | 12 | 464,558 |
| 77 | 10 | 872,877 | 2 | 13,994 |
| 78 | 12 | 1,126,712 | 8 | 494,868 |
| 79 | 9 | 709,730 | 8 | 332,663 |
| 80 | 9 | 631,562 | 11 | 328,938 |
| 81 | 9 | 746,975 | 9 | 378,122 |

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

Table B-5: The Number and Annual Retirement Allowances of Retired Members (Healthy at Retirement) and Survivors of Deceased Members Distributed by Age as of December 31, 2017 (continued)

| Age | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Allowances | Number | Allowances |
| 82 | 8 | 480,549 | 5 | 198,120 |
| 83 | 5 | 229,660 | 7 | 277,127 |
| 84 | 7 | 515,775 | 3 | 91,827 |
| 85 | 4 | 365,022 | 9 | 358,152 |
| 86 | 4 | 328,440 | 5 | 355,117 |
| 87 | 5 | 374,718 | 3 | 118,657 |
| 88 | 6 | 335,757 | 4 | 202,061 |
| 89 | 6 | 422,871 | 6 | 100,713 |
| 90 | 1 | 10,973 | 7 | 170,248 |
| 91 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 158,666 |
| 92 | 3 | 227,399 | 2 | 55,637 |
| 93 | 1 | 105,024 | 2 | 36,649 |
| 94 | 1 | 100,757 | 2 | 41,234 |
| 95 | 2 | 91,633 | 2 | 38,131 |
| 97 | 1 | 24,278 | 2 | 30,516 |
| 98 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53,050 |
| 99 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 97,292 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49,753 |
| 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 856 |
| Total | 395 | 28,813,905 | 285 | 13,983,622 |

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

Table B-6: The Number and Annual Retirement Allowances of Retired Members (Healthy at Retirement) and Survivors of Deceased Members Distributed by Annuity Type as of December 31, 2017

| Men |  | Women |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annuity Type | Number | Allowances | Number | Allowances |
| Maximum | 224 | $17,094,829$ | 115 | $6,948,377$ |
| Option 1 | 3 | 271,138 | 0 | 0 |
| Option 2 | 37 | $1,936,670$ | 4 | 220,716 |
| Option 3 | 43 | $3,756,573$ | 3 | 170,899 |
| Option 4 | 3 | 196,984 | 8 | 352,950 |
| Option 5-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Option 5-3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Option 6-2 | 19 | 984,534 | 1 | 91,263 |
| Option 6-3 | 50 | $4,132,661$ | 12 | 847,935 |
| Other | 3 | 80,317 | 1 | 53,050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Survivors of | 13 | 360,202 | 141 | $5,298,429$ |
| Deceased Members |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $28,813,908$ | 285 | $13,983,619$ |

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

Table B-7: The Number and Annual Retirement Allowances of Retired Members (Disabled at Retirement) Distributed by Age of December 31, 2017

| Age |  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Allowances | Number | Allow ances |
|  | 60 | 1 | 69,696 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 68 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53,015 |
| Total |  | 1 | 69,696 | 1 | 53,015 |

## Appendix B: Detailed Tabulations of Member Data

## B-8: The Number and Annual Retirement Allowances of Retired Members

(Disabled at Retirement) Distributed by
Annuity Type of December 31, 2017

| Annuity Type | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allowances | Number | Allowances |
| Maximum | 1 | 69,696 |  |  |
| Option 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 3 |  |  | 1 | 53,015 |
| Option 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 5-2 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 5-3 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 6-2 |  |  |  |  |
| Option 6-3 |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 69,696 | 1 | 53,015 |

## Appendix C: Summary of Main Benefit and Contribution Provisions

All justices, judges, district attorneys, and public defenders of the General Court of Justice, and clerks of the Superior Court are eligible for membership.
"Final compensation" as used in the summary means the annual rate of compensation of the member at his date of termination or death. "Average final compensation" means the average annual compensation during the 48 consecutive calendar months of membership producing the highest average. "Creditable service" includes all service rendered as a justice of the Supreme Court, judge of the Court of Appeals, judge of the Superior Court, judge of the District Court Division of the General Court of Justice, Administrative Officer of the Courts, District Attorney, Public Defender or as a Clerk of the Superior Court.

BENEFITS

## Service Retirement Allowance

Conditions for Allowance

Unreduced Allowance

A service retirement allowance is payable to any member who retires from service and:
(a) had attained age 50 and was in service on October 8, 1981; or
(b) has attained age 50 and completed five or more years of creditable service; or

Retirement is compulsory at age 72 if the member is a justice or judge of the Appellate, Superior, or District Divisions of the General Court of Justice and at age 70 for each other member.

An unreduced annual service retirement allowance is payable to a member who:
(a) has attained age 65 and completed five years of creditable service; or
(b) has attained age 50 and completed 24 years of creditable service.

The Service Retirement Allowance is equal to:
(i) $4.02 \%$ of final compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a justice of the Supreme Court or judge of the Court of Appeals, plus
(ii) $3.52 \%$ of final compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a judge of the Superior Court or as Administrative Officer of the Courts, plus
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(iii) 3.02\% of final compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service rendered as a judge of the District Court, District Attorney, Public Defender, or Clerk of the Superior Court, plus
(iv) A service retirement allowance computed on average final compensation, service transferred from the Teachers' and State Employees' Retirement System or the Local Governmental Employees' Retirement System and the applicable formula accrual rate from the previous system.

Reduced Allowance

Maximum Amount

A reduced annual service retirement allowance is payable to a member who retires:
(a) prior to the earlier of attainment of age 65 and completion of five years of creditable service;
(b) prior to attainment of age 50 or the completion of 24 years of creditable service.

The reduced amount is an allowance as computed above reduced by $3 \%$ for each year that the member's retirement date precedes the date upon which the member would have attained age 65 or completed 24 years of service had he or she remained in service, whichever is earlier.

The maximum annual service retirement allowance (on an unreduced basis) is the amount which, when added to the member's benefit payable from the Teachers' and State Employees' Retirement System, Local Governmental Employees' Retirement System, or Legislative Retirement System (all on an unreduced basis) would total $75 \%$ of the member's final compensation.

# Appendix C: Summary of Main Benefit and Contribution Provisions 

Minimum Amount<br>Disability Retirement Allowance

In no event will a member whose creditable service commenced prior to January 1, 1974 as a justice of the Supreme Court, as a judge of the Court of Appeals, as an Administrative Officer of the courts, or as a judge of the Superior Court, receive a smaller retirement allowance than he or she would have received under Chapter 7-A of the General Statutes.

Condition for Allowance

Amount of Allowance

Deferred Allowance

Spouse Benefit
Conditions for Benefit
Upon the death of a member in active service after attainment of age 50 and completion of five years of creditable service a death benefit is payable to his or her surviving spouse.
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Amount of Benefit<br>Lump Sum Death Benefit<br>Death after Retirement<br>Other Death Benefits<br>Return of Contributions<br>The surviving spouse receives a lump sum payment equal to the member's final compensation. In addition the surviving spouse receives an annual retirement allowance, until death or remarriage, equal to $50 \%$ of the service retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled had retirement occurred on the first day of the calendar month coincident with or next following his or her date of death reduced by $2 \%$ for each year that the member's age exceeds that of the spouse.<br>Upon the death of a member in active service prior to attainment of age 50 a lump sum payment equal to the member's accumulated contributions plus his or her final compensation is made to the designated beneficiary or estate.<br>Upon the death of a retired member while in receipt of a service retirement allowance or after age 65 if in receipt of a disability retirement allowance an allowance is paid to his or her spouse, until death or remarriage, equal to one-half the allowance which was payable to the member prior to death reduced by $2 \%$ for each year that the member's age exceeds that of the spouse.<br>Upon the death of a member in receipt of a disability retirement allowance prior to age 65, an allowance is paid to his or her spouse, until death or remarriage, equal to onehalf the service retirement allowance the member would have received had he or she remained in service up to the date of death reduced by $2 \%$ for each year that the member's age exceeds that of the spouse.<br>Upon the death of a member in service, other benefits may be provided by the Death Benefit Plan.<br>Any member who terminates service other than by retirement or death is entitled to the return of accumulated contributions.<br>If the total retirement allowance payments to a retired member, spouse and/or beneficiary under option are less than the member's accumulated contributions at retirement, the excess is paid to the designated beneficiary or legal representatives.<br>The current interest rate on member contributions is $4 \%$.
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Optional Allowances

In lieu of the full retirement allowance, any member may elect to receive a reduced retirement allowance equal in value to the full allowance, with the provision that:

Option 1 - At the death of the member within 10 years from retirement date, an amount equal to his or her accumulated contributions at retirement, less $1 / 120$ for each month he or she has received a retirement allowance payment, is paid to the estate, or to a person designated by the member, or

Option 2 - At the death of the member his or her allowance shall be continued throughout the life of such other person as the member shall have designated at the time of retirement, or

Option 3 - At the death of the member one-half of his or her allowance shall be continued throughout the life of such other person as the member shall have designated at the time of retirement, or

Option 4 - At retirement, any member may elect to receive a retirement allowance in such amount that, together with his or her Social Security benefit, the member will receive approximately the same income per annum before and after the earliest age at which he or she becomes eligible to receive the Social Security benefit. A member who elects to receive his or her allowance under this option is deemed to have elected Option 1 also, or

Option 5 - At retirement, the member may elect to receive a reduced retirement allowance during his or her life with some other benefit approved by the Board of Trustees payable after death, or the member may elect to receive a reduced retirement allowance under the provisions of Option 2 or Option 3 in conjunction with the provisions of Option 1, or

Option 6 - A member may elect either Option 2 or Option 3 with the added provision that in the event the designated beneficiary predeceases the member, the retirement allowance payable to the member after the designated beneficiary's death shall be equal to the retirement allowance which would have been payable had the member not elected the Option
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Unused Sick Leave

Post-Retirement Increases in Allowance

Contributions
Member Contributions
Employer Contributions

Changes Since Prior Valuation

Unused sick leave counts as creditable service at retirement. Sick leave which was converted from unused vacation leave is also creditable. One month of credit is allowed for each 20 days of unused sick leave, plus an additional month for any part of 20 days left over.

Future increases in allowances may be granted at the discretion of the State.

Each member contributes 6\% of annual compensation.
The State makes annual contributions consisting of a normal contribution and an accrued liability contribution. The normal contribution covers the liability on account of current service and is determined by the actuary after each valuation.

The accrued liability contribution covers the liability on account of service rendered before the establishment of the retirement system and the liability on account of increases in benefits for service rendered prior to the effective date of any amendment.

A one-time pension supplement was granted effective July 1, 2018 to retired members and survivors of deceased members receiving benefits as of September 1, 2018, payable in October 2018, pursuant to Session Law 2018-5 (Appropriations Act of 2018).

## Appendix D: Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

Assumptions are based on the experience investigation prepared as of December 31, 2014 and adopted by the Board of Trustees on January 21, 2016 for use beginning with the December 31, 2015 annual actuarial valuation. The interest rate of $7.00 \%$ was adopted by the Board of Trustees on April 26, 2018.

Interest Rate: $7.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
Inflation: Both general and wage inflation are assumed to be $3.00 \%$ per annum.
Real Wage Growth: $0.50 \%$ per annum.
Withdrawal: No termination of employment is assumed to occur prior to retirement, other than death or disability.

Separations Before Retirement: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of separation are as follows:

Annual Rate of

|  | Disability <br> Male \& Female |  | Base Mortality* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Age }}{25}$ | $\frac{.0001}{\text { Male }}$ | $\frac{\text { Female }}{}$ |  |  |
| 30 | .0001 | .0005 | .0002 |  |
| 35 | .0003 | .0005 | .0002 |  |
| 40 | .0007 | .0005 | .0003 |  |
| 45 | .0014 | .0010 | .0004 |  |
| 50 | .0023 | .0017 | .0007 |  |
| 55 | .0047 | .0028 | .0011 |  |
| 60 | .0077 | .0047 | .0017 |  |
| 64 | .0098 | .0074 | .0024 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Base mortality rates as of 2014.

Service Retirement: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of service retirement are as follows:

| Age | Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  | . 150 | . 150 |
| 55 | . 025 | . 025 | . 025 | . 025 | . 150 | . 150 |
| 60 | . 025 | . 025 | . 025 | . 025 | . 125 | . 125 |
| 65 | . 100 | . 100 | . 100 | . 100 | . 100 | . 100 |
| 70 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 |
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Salary Increases: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of salary increases are as follows:

Annual Rate of Salary Increase

| Service |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | .0550 |  |
| 5 | .0500 |  |
| 10 |  | .0450 |
| 15 |  | .0405 |
| 20 |  | .0375 |
| 25 |  | .0350 |
| 30 |  | .0350 |
| 35 | .0350 |  |
| 40 |  | .0350 |

Deaths After Retirement: Representative values of the assumed post-retirement mortality rates in 2014 prior to any mortality improvements are as follows:

Annual Rate of Death after Retirement
(Retired Members and Survivors of Deceased Members)

|  | Retirees <br> (Healthy at Retirement) |  | Survivors of Deceased Members |  | Retirees (Disabled at Retirement) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 55 | . 0057 | . 0036 | . 0057 | . 0036 | . 0234 | . 0145 |
| 60 | . 0078 | . 0052 | . 0078 | . 0052 | . 0266 | . 0170 |
| 65 | . 0110 | . 0080 | . 0110 | . 0080 | . 0317 | . 0209 |
| 70 | . 0168 | . 0129 | . 0168 | . 0129 | . 0403 | . 0282 |
| 75 | . 0268 | . 0209 | . 0268 | . 0209 | . 0543 | . 0410 |
| 80 | . 0447 | . 0348 | . 0447 | . 0348 | . 0766 | . 0610 |

Deaths After Retirement (Healthy Members at Retirement and Survivors of Deceased Members):
Mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Total Data Set for Healthy Annuitants Mortality Table. The RP2014 annuitant tables have no rates prior to age 50. The RP-2014 Total Data Set Employee Mortality Table (with no adjustments) is used for ages less than 50.

Deaths After Retirement (Disabled Members at Retirement): Mortality rates are based on the RP2014 Total Data Set for Disabled Annuitants Mortality Table.

Deaths Prior to Retirement: Mortality rates are based on the RP-2014 Total Data Set Employee Mortality Table.

Mortality Projection: All mortality rates are projected from 2014 using generational improvement with Scale MP-2015.

## Appendix D: Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

Timing of Assumptions: All withdrawals, deaths, disabilities, retirements and salary increases are assumed to occur July 1 of each year.

Liability for Inactive Members: The liability for members who terminated prior to five years of creditable service is estimated to be $100 \%$ of the member's accumulated contributions. The liability for members who terminated after completing five years of creditable service is estimated based on the member's current age and the service and reported compensation at termination of employment.

Administrative Expenses: $0.75 \%$ of normal cost.
Marriage Assumption: $90 \%$ of male members married and $50 \%$ of female members married with the male spouses four years older than female spouses.

Reported Compensation: Calendar year compensation as furnished by the system's office.
Valuation Compensation: Reported compensation adjusted to reflect the assumed rate of pay as of the valuation date.

Actuarial Cost Method: Entry age normal cost method. Entry age is established on an individual basis.
Normal Cost: Normal cost rate reflects the impact of new entrants during the year.
Amortization Period: 12-year closed, level-dollar amount. The first amortization base was created for the contribution payable for fiscal year ending 2012.

Asset Valuation Method: Actuarial value, as developed in Table 7. Actuarial value of assets is based upon a smoothed market value method. Under this method, asset returns in excess of or less than the expected return on market value of assets will be reflected in the actuarial value of assets over a fiveyear period. The calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets is based on the following formula:
$\mathrm{MV}-80 \% \times \mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{L})_{1}-60 \% \times \mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{L})_{2}-40 \% \times \mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{L})_{3}-20 \% \times \mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{L})_{4}$
$M V=$ the market value of assets as of the valuation date
$\mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{L})_{i}=$ the asset gain or (loss) for the $i$-th year preceding the valuation date
Changes Since Prior Valuation: The interest rate was changed from $7.20 \%$ to $7.00 \%$ with this change phased into the employer contribution rate using direct-rate smoothing over a three-year period.

## Appendix E: GASB 67 Fiduciary Net Position Projection

## Table E-1: Projection of Fiduciary Net Positions

| Calendar | Beginning Fiduciary Position |  | Member Contributions |  | Employer Contributions |  | Benefit <br> Payments |  | Administrative Expenses |  | Investment Earnings |  | Ending <br> Fiduciary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  | osition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | \$ | 595,683 |  |  | \$ | 4,544 | \$ | 23,931 | \$ | 45,549 | \$ | 111 | \$ | 41,107 | \$ | 619,604 |
| 2019 |  | 619,604 |  | 4,399 |  | 24,535 |  | 47,417 |  | 113 |  | 42,732 |  | 643,740 |
| 2020 |  | 643,740 |  | 4,275 |  | 24,595 |  | 49,505 |  | 115 |  | 44,348 |  | 667,338 |
| 2021 |  | 667,338 |  | 4,152 |  | 25,425 |  | 51,466 |  | 115 |  | 45,957 |  | 691,291 |
| 2022 |  | 691,291 |  | 4,038 |  | 23,030 |  | 53,250 |  | 112 |  | 47,486 |  | 712,483 |
| 2023 |  | 712,483 |  | 3,916 |  | 20,525 |  | 54,889 |  | 108 |  | 48,822 |  | 730,749 |
| 2024 |  | 730,749 |  | 3,789 |  | 19,660 |  | 56,532 |  | 104 |  | 50,011 |  | 747,572 |
| 2025 |  | 747,572 |  | 3,663 |  | 18,852 |  | 58,133 |  | 100 |  | 51,101 |  | 762,955 |
| 2026 |  | 762,955 |  | 3,527 |  | 18,826 |  | 59,606 |  | 97 |  | 52,122 |  | 777,726 |
| 2027 |  | 777,726 |  | 3,387 |  | 18,867 |  | 61,131 |  | 92 |  | 53,100 |  | 791,858 |
| 2028 |  | 791,858 |  | 3,234 |  | 16,398 |  | 62,453 |  | 88 |  | 53,954 |  | 802,902 |
| 2029 |  | 802,902 |  | 3,079 |  | 12,538 |  | 63,780 |  | 83 |  | 54,543 |  | 809,199 |
| 2030 |  | 809,199 |  | 2,930 |  | 9,863 |  | 64,928 |  | 78 |  | 54,847 |  | 811,834 |
| 2031 |  | 811,834 |  | 2,773 |  | 8,087 |  | 66,101 |  | 74 |  | 54,925 |  | 811,443 |
| 2032 |  | 811,443 |  | 2,609 |  | 7,576 |  | 67,296 |  | 69 |  | 54,834 |  | 809,097 |
| 2033 |  | 809,097 |  | 2,436 |  | 7,045 |  | 68,443 |  | 63 |  | 54,606 |  | 804,678 |
| 2034 |  | 804,678 |  | 2,254 |  | 6,555 |  | 69,390 |  | 58 |  | 54,241 |  | 798,280 |
| 2035 |  | 798,280 |  | 2,093 |  | 5,602 |  | 70,107 |  | 54 |  | 53,730 |  | 789,545 |
| 2036 |  | 789,545 |  | 1,933 |  | 4,687 |  | 70,614 |  | 49 |  | 53,065 |  | 778,567 |
| 2037 |  | 778,567 |  | 1,769 |  | 4,222 |  | 71,092 |  | 45 |  | 52,258 |  | 765,679 |
| 2038 |  | 765,679 |  | 1,607 |  | 3,752 |  | 71,514 |  | 40 |  | 51,320 |  | 750,804 |
| 2039 |  | 750,804 |  | 1,432 |  | 3,304 |  | 71,806 |  | 35 |  | 50,247 |  | 733,946 |
| 2040 |  | 733,946 |  | 1,260 |  | 2,845 |  | 71,991 |  | 31 |  | 49,039 |  | 715,068 |
| 2041 |  | 715,068 |  | 1,079 |  | 2,324 |  | 72,266 |  | 25 |  | 47,684 |  | 693,864 |
| 2042 |  | 693,864 |  | 885 |  | 1,860 |  | 72,264 |  | 20 |  | 46,178 |  | 670,503 |
| 2043 |  | 670,503 |  | 717 |  | 1,464 |  | 71,845 |  | 16 |  | 44,538 |  | 645,361 |
| 2044 |  | 645,361 |  | 576 |  | 1,204 |  | 70,779 |  | 13 |  | 42,801 |  | 619,149 |
| 2045 |  | 619,149 |  | 482 |  | 999 |  | 69,292 |  | 11 |  | 41,007 |  | 592,334 |
| 2046 |  | 592,334 |  | 399 |  | 845 |  | 67,536 |  | 9 |  | 39,182 |  | 565,215 |
| 2047 |  | 565,215 |  | 332 |  | 666 |  | 65,765 |  | 7 |  | 37,336 |  | 537,777 |
| 2048 |  | 537,777 |  | 266 |  | 523 |  | 63,840 |  | 6 |  | 35,475 |  | 510,195 |
| 2049 |  | 510,195 |  | 210 |  | 399 |  | 61,788 |  | 5 |  | 33,608 |  | 482,620 |
| 2050 |  | 482,620 |  | 161 |  | 307 |  | 59,590 |  | 3 |  | 31,749 |  | 455,244 |
| 2051 |  | 455,244 |  | 127 |  | 224 |  | 57,310 |  | 3 |  | 29,907 |  | 428,189 |
| 2052 |  | 428,189 |  | 93 |  | 177 |  | 54,912 |  | 2 |  | 28,093 |  | 401,637 |
| 2053 |  | 401,637 |  | 73 |  | 121 |  | 52,521 |  | 1 |  | 26,314 |  | 375,623 |
| 2054 |  | 375,623 |  | 52 |  | 94 |  | 50,045 |  | 1 |  | 24,577 |  | 350,300 |
| 2055 |  | 350,300 |  | 39 |  | 65 |  | 47,582 |  | 1 |  | 22,887 |  | 325,708 |
| 2056 |  | 325,708 |  | 27 |  | 44 |  | 45,116 |  | 1 |  | 21,250 |  | 301,912 |
| 2057 |  | 301,912 |  | 18 |  | 23 |  | 42,676 |  | 0 |  | 19,667 |  | 278,943 |
| 2058 |  | 278,943 |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 40,246 |  | 0 |  | 18,142 |  | 256,857 |
| 2059 |  | 256,857 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  | 37,834 |  | 0 |  | 16,678 |  | 235,706 |
| 2060 |  | 235,706 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 35,428 |  | 0 |  | 15,280 |  | 215,560 |
| 2061 |  | 215,560 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 33,066 |  | 0 |  | 13,951 |  | 196,445 |
| 2062 |  | 196,445 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 30,764 |  | 0 |  | 12,693 |  | 178,374 |
| 2063 |  | 178,374 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 28,527 |  | 0 |  | 11,505 |  | 161,352 |
| 2064 |  | 161,352 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 26,359 |  | 0 |  | 10,388 |  | 145,381 |
| 2065 |  | 145,381 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 24,266 |  | 0 |  | 9,342 |  | 130,456 |
| 2066 |  | 130,456 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 22,253 |  | 0 |  | 8,366 |  | 116,569 |
| 2067 |  | 116,569 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 20,324 |  | 0 |  | 7,461 |  | 103,706 |

## Appendix E: GASB 67 Fiduciary Net Position Projection

Table E-1: Projection of Fiduciary Net Positions (continued)
(in thousands)


## Appendix E: GASB 67 Fiduciary Net Position Projection

Table E-2: Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments

| CalendarYear | Beginning |  | Benefit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fiduciary |  |  |
|  | Position |  | Payments |  |
| 2018 | \$ | 595,683 | \$ | 45,549 |
| 2019 |  | 619,604 |  | 47,417 |
| 2020 |  | 643,740 |  | 49,505 |
| 2021 |  | 667,338 |  | 51,466 |
| 2022 |  | 691,291 |  | 53,250 |
| 2023 |  | 712,483 |  | 54,889 |
| 2024 |  | 730,749 |  | 56,532 |
| 2025 |  | 747,572 |  | 58,133 |
| 2026 |  | 762,955 |  | 59,606 |
| 2027 |  | 777,726 |  | 61,131 |
| 2028 |  | 791,858 |  | 62,453 |
| 2029 |  | 802,902 |  | 63,780 |
| 2030 |  | 809,199 |  | 64,928 |
| 2031 |  | 811,834 |  | 66,101 |
| 2032 |  | 811,443 |  | 67,296 |
| 2033 |  | 809,097 |  | 68,443 |
| 2034 |  | 804,678 |  | 69,390 |
| 2035 |  | 798,280 |  | 70,107 |
| 2036 |  | 789,545 |  | 70,614 |
| 2037 |  | 778,567 |  | 71,092 |
| 2038 |  | 765,679 |  | 71,514 |
| 2039 |  | 750,804 |  | 71,806 |
| 2040 |  | 733,946 |  | 71,991 |
| 2041 |  | 715,068 |  | 72,266 |
| 2042 |  | 693,864 |  | 72,264 |
| 2043 |  | 670,503 |  | 71,845 |
| 2044 |  | 645,361 |  | 70,779 |
| 2045 |  | 619,149 |  | 69,292 |
| 2046 |  | 592,334 |  | 67,536 |
| 2047 |  | 565,215 |  | 65,765 |
| 2048 |  | 537,777 |  | 63,840 |
| 2049 |  | 510,195 |  | 61,788 |
| 2050 |  | 482,620 |  | 59,590 |
| 2051 |  | 455,244 |  | 57,310 |
| 2052 |  | 428,189 |  | 54,912 |
| 2053 |  | 401,637 |  | 52,521 |
| 2054 |  | 375,623 |  | 50,045 |
| 2055 |  | 350,300 |  | 47,582 |
| 2056 |  | 325,708 |  | 45,116 |
| 2057 |  | 301,912 |  | 42,676 |
| 2058 |  | 278,943 |  | 40,246 |
| 2059 |  | 256,857 |  | 37,834 |
| 2060 |  | 235,706 |  | 35,428 |
| 2061 |  | 215,560 |  | 33,066 |
| 2062 |  | 196,445 |  | 30,764 |
| 2063 |  | 178,374 |  | 28,527 |
| 2064 |  | 161,352 |  | 26,359 |
| 2065 |  | 145,381 |  | 24,266 |
| 2066 |  | 130,456 |  | 22,253 |
| 2067 |  | 116,569 |  | 20,324 |

(in thousands)

| Funded <br> Benefit <br> Payments | Unfunded <br> Benefit Payments | Funded <br> Payments at 7.00\% | Unfunded Payments at 3.87\% | Using Single Discount Rate of $7.00 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 45,549 | \$ 0 | \$ 44,034 | \$ 0 | \$ 44,034 |
| 47,417 | 0 | 42,841 | 0 | 42,841 |
| 49,505 | 0 | 41,801 | 0 | 41,801 |
| 51,466 | 0 | 40,614 | 0 | 40,614 |
| 53,250 | 0 | 39,273 | 0 | 39,273 |
| 54,889 | 0 | 37,833 | 0 | 37,833 |
| 56,532 | 0 | 36,417 | 0 | 36,417 |
| 58,133 | 0 | 34,998 | 0 | 34,998 |
| 59,606 | 0 | 33,537 | 0 | 33,537 |
| 61,131 | 0 | 32,145 | 0 | 32,145 |
| 62,453 | 0 | 30,692 | 0 | 30,692 |
| 63,780 | 0 | 29,294 | 0 | 29,294 |
| 64,928 | 0 | 27,870 | 0 | 27,870 |
| 66,101 | 0 | 26,517 | 0 | 26,517 |
| 67,296 | 0 | 25,230 | 0 | 25,230 |
| 68,443 | 0 | 23,982 | 0 | 23,982 |
| 69,390 | 0 | 22,723 | 0 | 22,723 |
| 70,107 | 0 | 21,456 | 0 | 21,456 |
| 70,614 | 0 | 20,197 | 0 | 20,197 |
| 71,092 | 0 | 19,004 | 0 | 19,004 |
| 71,514 | 0 | 17,866 | 0 | 17,866 |
| 71,806 | 0 | 16,765 | 0 | 16,765 |
| 71,991 | 0 | 15,709 | 0 | 15,709 |
| 72,266 | 0 | 14,737 | 0 | 14,737 |
| 72,264 | 0 | 13,773 | 0 | 13,773 |
| 71,845 | 0 | 12,797 | 0 | 12,797 |
| 70,779 | 0 | 11,782 | 0 | 11,782 |
| 69,292 | 0 | 10,780 | 0 | 10,780 |
| 67,536 | 0 | 9,820 | 0 | 9,820 |
| 65,765 | 0 | 8,937 | 0 | 8,937 |
| 63,840 | 0 | 8,107 | 0 | 8,107 |
| 61,788 | 0 | 7,334 | 0 | 7,334 |
| 59,590 | 0 | 6,610 | 0 | 6,610 |
| 57,310 | 0 | 5,941 | 0 | 5,941 |
| 54,912 | 0 | 5,320 | 0 | 5,320 |
| 52,521 | 0 | 4,756 | 0 | 4,756 |
| 50,045 | 0 | 4,235 | 0 | 4,235 |
| 47,582 | 0 | 3,763 | 0 | 3,763 |
| 45,116 | 0 | 3,335 | 0 | 3,335 |
| 42,676 | 0 | 2,948 | 0 | 2,948 |
| 40,246 | 0 | 2,598 | 0 | 2,598 |
| 37,834 | 0 | 2,283 | 0 | 2,283 |
| 35,428 | 0 | 1,998 | 0 | 1,998 |
| 33,066 | 0 | 1,743 | 0 | 1,743 |
| 30,764 | 0 | 1,515 | 0 | 1,515 |
| 28,527 | 0 | 1,313 | 0 | 1,313 |
| 26,359 | 0 | 1,134 | 0 | 1,134 |
| 24,266 | 0 | 976 | 0 | 976 |
| 22,253 | 0 | 836 | 0 | 836 |
| 20,324 | 0 | 714 | 0 | 714 |

## Appendix E: GASB 67 Fiduciary Net Position Projection

Table E-2: Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments (continued)

| Calendar Year | Beginning |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ciary |
|  | Position |  |
| 2068 | \$ | 103,706 |
| 2069 |  | 91,846 |
| 2070 |  | 80,965 |
| 2071 |  | 71,032 |
| 2072 |  | 62,010 |
| 2073 |  | 53,857 |
| 2074 |  | 46,530 |
| 2075 |  | 39,979 |
| 2076 |  | 34,157 |
| 2077 |  | 29,012 |
| 2078 |  | 24,492 |
| 2079 |  | 20,548 |
| 2080 |  | 17,130 |
| 2081 |  | 14,190 |
| 2082 |  | 11,682 |
| 2083 |  | 9,562 |
| 2084 |  | 7,788 |
| 2085 |  | 6,323 |
| 2086 |  | 5,130 |
| 2087 |  | 4,174 |
| 2088 |  | 3,424 |
| 2089 |  | 2,850 |
| 2090 |  | 2,425 |
| 2091 |  | 2,123 |
| 2092 |  | 1,923 |
| 2093 |  | 1,805 |
| 2094 |  | 1,752 |
| 2095 |  | 1,751 |
| 2096 |  | 1,790 |
| 2097 |  | 1,861 |
| 2098 |  | 1,956 |
| 2099 |  | 2,072 |
| 2100 |  | 2,204 |
| 2101 |  | 2,351 |
| 2102 |  | 2,511 |
| 2103 |  | 2,685 |
| 2104 |  | 2,872 |
| 2105 |  | 3,072 |
| 2106 |  | 3,287 |
| 2107 |  | 3,517 |
| 2108 |  | 3,763 |
| 2109 |  | 4,026 |
| 2110 |  | 4,308 |
| 2111 |  | 4,610 |
| 2112 |  | 4,932 |
| 2113 |  | 5,277 |
| 2114 |  | 5,647 |
| 2115 |  | 6,042 |
| 2116 |  | 6,465 |
| 2117 |  | 6,918 |

(in thousands)
Benefit
Payments
18,483
16,734
15,082
13,529
12,078
10,729
9,481
8,334
7,286
6,332
5,470
4,695
4,002
3,385
2,840
2,361
1,943
1,581
1,271
1,007
787
604
456
337
244
173
120
81
53
34
21
12
7
4
2
1
1

| Funded | Unfunded |
| :---: | :---: |
| Payments at | Payments a |
| $7.00 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ |

Using Single Discount Rat of $7.00 \%$

607 513
432



0
0
0
0

0
0
0
0
0

## Appendix F: Additional Disclosures

Table F-1 illustrates the sensitivity of certain valuation results to changes in the discount rate on a market value of assets basis. Table F-2 summarizes historical actuarial value and market value asset returns. Table F-3 provides an estimate of future market value of asset returns based on the current portfolio structure and summarized in our "TSERS Asset-Liability and Investment Strategy Project" report dated April 19, 2016.
Section 6(c) of Session Law 2016-108 requires that the actuarial valuation report provide the valuation results using a 30 -year treasury rate as of December 31 of the year of the valuation as the discount rate. This is $2.74 \%$ at December 31, 2017 and has been used as the lower bound of the sensitivity analysis presented. The range between the current discount rate ( $7.00 \%$ ) and the 30 -year treasury rate ( $2.74 \%$ ) was used to establish an upper bound for sensitivity analysis ( $11.26 \%$ ). The remaining rates illustrated represent mid-points between the selected rates. Table F-3 illustrates our best estimate of the plausibility of such rates. The lower bound of $2.74 \%$ falls below the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile of estimated future 30 -year returns while the upper bound of $11.26 \%$ falls between the $75^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of estimated future 30 -year returns.

Table F-1: Sensitivity of Valuation Results as of December 31, 2017


Table F-2: Historical Asset Returns

| Calendar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Actuarial <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return | Market <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return | Calendar <br> Year | Actuarial <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return | Market <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return | Calendar <br> Year | Actuarial <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return | Market <br> Value of <br> Asset <br> Return |
| 1997 | $10.19 \%$ | $18.07 \%$ | 2004 | $8.95 \%$ | $10.73 \%$ | 2011 | $5.25 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |
| 1998 | $9.92 \%$ | $16.61 \%$ | 2005 | $8.56 \%$ | $6.94 \%$ | 2012 | $6.42 \%$ | $11.79 \%$ |
| 1999 | $15.74 \%$ | $10.03 \%$ | 2006 | $9.17 \%$ | $11.35 \%$ | 2013 | $7.52 \%$ | $12.19 \%$ |
| 2000 | $12.37 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | 2007 | $9.04 \%$ | $8.35 \%$ | 2014 | $7.26 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| 2001 | $9.07 \%$ | $-1.74 \%$ | 2008 | $3.01 \%$ | $-19.39 \%$ | 2015 | $5.87 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| 2002 | $6.13 \%$ | $-4.84 \%$ | 2009 | $4.88 \%$ | $14.83 \%$ | 2016 | $5.33 \%$ | $6.22 \%$ |
| 2003 | $8.44 \%$ | $18.33 \%$ | 2010 | $6.01 \%$ | $11.49 \%$ | 2017 | $6.57 \%$ | $13.46 \%$ |

The average investment return recognized for the purposes of determining the annual change in contribution each year is the Actuarial Value of Asset Return. The Actuarial Value of Assets smooths investment gains and losses over a five-year period and is used to reduce volatility that investment gains and losses can have on required contributions and the funded status of the Plan.

## Appendix F: Additional Disclosures

Table F-3: Estimate of Future Asset Returns

| Horizon | 95\%Chance <br> (19 out of every 20 scenarios) | 75\%Chance <br> (3 out of every 4 scenarios) | 50\%Chance <br> (1 out of every 2scenarios) | 25\% Chance <br> (1 out of every 4 scenarios) | 5\%Chance (1 out of every 20 scenarios) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { Years } \\ (2025) \end{gathered}$ | 0.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.9\% | 8.0\% | 11.5\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { Years } \\ & (2035) \end{aligned}$ | 2.2\% | 4.8\% | 6.7\% | 8.5\% | 11.8\% |
| $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { Years } \\ (2045) \end{gathered}$ | 3.1\% | 5.3\% | 7.1\% | 8.7\% | 12.0\% |

Other than the discount rate, these results are based on the other economic and demographic assumptions presented in the report. For purposes of simplicity in this disclosure, no adjustments to the valuation assumption for inflation were reflected in the sensitivities above. The statute also requires that the actuarial valuation report show the results using a market value of assets basis. The "funded ratio" and "unfunded accrued liability" in Table F-1 are based upon the market value of assets. In order to alleviate volatility, future employer contributions are determined based on the actuarial value of assets, which smooths market value returns.

None of the liability amounts shown are intended to imply the amount that might represent the cost of any settlement of the plan's obligations. The various caveats, constraints, and discussions presented earlier in the report apply to these results as well.

## Appendix G: Data for Section 2 Graphs

The tables below provide the numbers associated with the graphs in Section 2 of this report.
Graph 1: Active Members

|  | Active Member <br> Count | Reported <br> Compensation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 566 | $\$$ |
| 2014 | 566 | $68,456,637$ |
| 2015 | 561 | $67,562,225$ |
| 2016 | 560 | $68,245,416$ |
| 2017 | 562 | $70,112,652$ |

Graph 2: Retired Members and Survivors of Deceased Members

|  | Retired and <br> Survivors of <br> Deceased Member <br> Count | Retirement <br> Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 584 | $\$$ |
| 2014 | 610 | $35,111,390$ |
| 2015 | 647 | $37,376,920$ |
| 2016 | 654 | $40,036,451$ |
| 2017 | 682 | $40,501,250$ |

Graph 3: Market Value of Assets and Asset Returns

|  | Market Value of <br> Assets | Asset Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $\$$ | $511,969,020$ |
| 2014 | $534,452,795$ | $12.19 \%$ |
| 2015 | $520,979,678$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| 2016 | $538,766,550$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| 2017 | $595,683,002$ | $6.22 \%$ |

## Appendix G: Data for Section 2 Graphs

Graph 5: Actuarial Value and Market Value of Assets

|  | Actuarial Value of <br> Assets | Market Value of <br> Assets |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2013 | $\$$ | $506,787,899$ |
| 2014 | $534,299,602$ | $511,969,020$ |
| 2015 | $550,050,200$ | $534,452,795$ |
| 2016 | $564,809,316$ | $520,979,678$ |
| 2017 | $586,776,499$ | $538,766,550$ |

Graph 6: Asset Returns

|  | Actuarial Value <br> Value of Assets | Market Value Asset <br> Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $7.52 \%$ | $12.19 \%$ |
| 2014 | $7.26 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| 2015 | $5.87 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| 2016 | $5.33 \%$ | $6.22 \%$ |
| 2017 | $6.57 \%$ | $13.46 \%$ |

Graph 7: Actuarial Accrued Liability

|  |  | Active | Deferred |  | Retired |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | \$ | 232,783,711 | \$ | 3,393,117 | \$ | 313,168,240 | \$ | 549,345,068 |
| 2014 |  | 234,280,897 |  | 3,507,279 |  | 329,042,332 |  | 566,830,508 |
| 2015 |  | 227,098,381 |  | 2,403,740 |  | 386,097,159 |  | 615,599,280 |
| 2016 |  | 246,147,229 |  | 2,404,005 |  | 393,976,711 |  | 642,527,945 |
| 2017 |  | 256,903,792 |  | 4,174,484 |  | 420,816,811 |  | 681,895,087 |

## Appendix G: Data for Section 2 Graphs

Graph 8: Actuarial Accrued Liability and Actuarial Value of Assets

|  | Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability | Actuarial Value of <br> Assets |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2013 | $\$$ | $549,345,068$ |
| 2014 | $566,830,508$ | $506,787,899$ |
| 2015 | $615,599,280$ | $534,299,602$ |
| 2016 | $642,527,945$ | $550,050,200$ |
| 2017 | $681,895,087$ | $564,809,316$ |

## Graph 9: Funded Ratios

|  | Funded Ratio <br> (Actuarial Basis) | Funded Ratio <br> (Market Value Basis) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $92.3 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | $94.3 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | $89.4 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | $87.9 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ |
| 2017 | $86.1 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ |

## Graph 10: Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution Rates

| Fiscal <br> Year <br> Ending | Normal Rate | Accrued <br> Liability Rate | Total ADEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $17.97 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ | $26.37 \%$ |
| $2017^{* *}$ | $15.70 \%$ | $13.76 \%$ | $29.46 \%$ |
| 2018 | $15.95 \%$ | $15.10 \%$ | $31.05 \%$ |
| 2019 | $15.83 \%$ | $16.52 \%$ | $32.35 \%$ |
| $2020^{*}$ | $17.28 \%$ | $16.32 \%$ | $33.60 \%$ |

* Subject to the impact of future legislative changes during that fiscal year
** Includes impact of the experience study


[^0]:    * Reported compensation annualized for new hires and projected for valuation purposes.
    ** The Funded Ratio on a Market Value of Assets basis is $87.4 \%$ at December 31, 2017.
    ***The impact of legislative changes includes $0.60 \%$ for the one-time cost-of-living supplement and an additional $0.91 \%$ due to appropriated contribution rate exceeding the $32.35 \%$ preliminary ADEC.

[^1]:    * Real Estate, Alternatives, Inflation and Credit

[^2]:    *Includes assumed administrative expenses.
    **See Table 14 for more detail
    ***The method of determining the contribution rate for the death benefit has been changed from annual term cost to entry age normal, the same method that is used for other System benefits.

