

Plan Structure and Investment Consultant Relationship



Today's Decision

- Direct Staff to Contract with an Investment Consultant
 - Roles & Responsibilities:
 - 3(21) Style through December 17, 2015 or beyond
 - Plan design unbundle
 - RFP for record keeping, master trust, custodian
 - Further evaluation of future business model
 - Non-Delegated versus Delegated model for a future Board of Trustees recommendation

Agenda

- Timeline
- Opportunities
- 3(21) Style Investment Consultant
- Record Keeping RFP and Plan Design
- Future Business Model
 - Non-Delegated versus Delegated
- Next Steps

Timeline

September 2012 Compliance Monitoring Issue Identified

March 30, 2013 Board Grants Approval to Issue Investment Consultant RFP Explore 3(21) – Style and 3(38) – Style Structure December 13, 2013 Vendor Pre bid conference call to provide background on RFP January 10, 2014 Bidders Questions

Answered in Writing

February 5 & 7, 2014 Preliminary Evaluation: Evaluation Committee of RSD, SRP, & IMD Staff. Evaluated 5 bids and based on score identified 3 finalists

March 19, 2014 Recommendation presented to the Board based upon the Evaluation Committee's findings

March 3, 2013

Board received recommendation to Issue Investment Consultant RFP Unbundle Plans Evaluate 3(21) – Style and 3(38) – Style

November 15, 2013 Investment Consultant RFP Issued

Issued

January 24, 2014 Investment Consultant RFP Bids due Received 5 Bids in response to the RFP

February 21 , 2014

Secondary Evaluation / Finalist Presentation: Evaluation Committee and Board Members reviewed the 3 Finalist Presentation.

Opportunities

- Establish clear roles, responsibilities & accountability
 - Investment Consulting 401(k), 457 and 403(b)
- Expiration of Prudential's contract
 - Evaluate Plan Design Annuity structure versus Master Trust/Custodian Account
 - RFP for record keeping, custody & trust administration and participant services
- Determine Long-Term Business Model
 - GOAL: Determine best long term approach to enhance investment oversight and operational efficiency and effectiveness
 - Leverage Industry Expertise in areas of Investments, Operations and Compliance Delegated Model
 - Build and maintain an infrastructure to deliver on required monitoring and ongoing services Non-Delegated or Hybrid Model

3(21) – Style Investment Consultant

- Fiduciary for Investment Advice
- 457, 401(k) and 403(b)
- Similar roles and responsibilities as Mercer today
- Through December 17, 2015 or longer (5 year contract)

Record Keeping RFP and Plan Design

- Plan Design
- Service Provider Search and Selection
 - Record Keeper
 - Trust Administrator
 - Custodian
 - Marketing and Education
 - Participant Services
- Effective Date: December 18, 2015

Record Keeping RFP and Plan Design Current Plan Structure



OVERVIEW

- NC, via a variable annuity structure, employs Prudential as Plan record keeper, administrator and informally delegated investment manager
- Prudential, in turn, employs all investment managers and also the custodian of underlying securities, State Street
- NC employs Mercer as investment consultant

CONSIDERATIONS

- Variable annuity structure is restrictive and opaque.
- NC assets are held on Prudential balance sheet within an insurance separate account. Potential issues include counterparty risk and/or access to assets.
- Bundled structure lacks transparency on fees and also legal liability
- Very difficult to change any single service provider as the offering/structure would need to be unbundled

Record Keeping RFP and Plan Design Future State Plan Structure



OVERVIEW

- NC establishes a Master Trust to hold all assets
- NC directly employs Plan Recordkeeper
- Master Trust directly employs a Delegated Manager who, in turn, employs underlying investment managers on NC's behalf
- Master Trust also employs Plan custodian to hold assets. Custodian continuously transmits investment data to Recordkeeper to facilitate participant transactions

BENEFITS

- To Board:
 - Clear contractual links and legal liability for services employed
 - Delegated manager can effect investment manager changes and act on compliance breaches
- To Participants:
 - No balance sheet risk to external party
 - Absolute clarity on fees for services provided at each level
- To Staff:
 - Unbundled structure much more flexible

Future Business Model Non-Delegated versus Delegated

Sec. 3(21) Fiduciary Consulting Relationship vs. Sec 3(38) Consulting

ERISA Section 3(21) Fiduciary

ERISA section 3(21) provides the standards by which any individual performing services for the plan might become a fiduciary due to the functions they actually (or have ability to) perform. Any individual can be a fiduciary under section 3(21) if he or she exercises any authority or control over the management of the plan or the management or disposition of its assets; if he or she renders investment advice for a fee (or has any authority or responsibility to do so); or if he or she has any discretionary responsibility in the administration of the plan.

ERISA Section 3(38) Fiduciary

Section 3(38) is an "investment manager" and by definition is a fiduciary because of their ability to manage the plan's assets. ERISA provides that a plan sponsor can delegate the significant responsibility (and significant liability) of selecting, monitoring and replacing of investments to the 3(38) investment manager/fiduciary. A 3(38) fiduciary can only be (a) a bank, (b) an insurance company, or (c) a registered investment adviser (RIA) subject to the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

Future Business Model

Non-Delegated versus Delegated



Note: For comparison purposes, we are currently in a Delegated 3(38) – relationship with Galliard for the NC Stable Value Product.

Future Business Model

Non-Delegated versus Delegated



Move the button left/right as required and evolve over time.

Next Steps

- Finalize Contract for 3(21) Style Investment Consultant
 - 457, 401(k) and 403(b) ongoing investment consulting services
 - 457 and 401(k) Plan Design and Service Provider Search and Selection
 - December 17, 2015 or later...
- Benefits and Cost Comparison Non-Delegated versus Delegated Model
 - Future Decision
 - Prior to December 17, 2015 (including required implementation time, if applicable)
- Ongoing Quarterly Progress Reports to Board
 - Recognition of milestone dates and key decision points



